1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	HAISANI REYNOLDS,	Case No. CV 17-00591 JAK (AFM)
12	Petitioner,	ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND
13	V.	RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED
14	RAYMOND MADDEN, Warden,	STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
1 Г		
15	Respondent.	
16	Respondent.	
16 17	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the	Court has reviewed the Petition, records on
16 17 18	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the file and the Report and Recommend	lation of United States Magistrate Judge.
16 17 18 19	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the file and the Report and Recommend Further, the Court has engaged in a <i>de</i>	
16 17 18 19 20	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the file and the Report and Recommend Further, the Court has engaged in a <i>de</i> to which objections have been made.	lation of United States Magistrate Judge. <i>novo</i> review of those portions of the Report
16 17 18 19 20 21	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the file and the Report and Recommend Further, the Court has engaged in a <i>de</i> to which objections have been made. The Report and Recommendation	lation of United States Magistrate Judge. <i>novo</i> review of those portions of the Report n is ordered corrected on page 21, lines 20-
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the file and the Report and Recommend Further, the Court has engaged in a <i>de</i> to which objections have been made. The Report and Recommendatio 21. The words "insufficiency-of-the-ev	lation of United States Magistrate Judge. <i>novo</i> review of those portions of the Report
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the file and the Report and Recommend Further, the Court has engaged in a <i>de</i> to which objections have been made. The Report and Recommendatio 21. The words "insufficiency-of-the-ev the word "claims."	lation of United States Magistrate Judge. <i>novo</i> review of those portions of the Report n is ordered corrected on page 21, lines 20- vidence claim" are deleted and replaced with
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the file and the Report and Recommend Further, the Court has engaged in a <i>de</i> to which objections have been made. The Report and Recommendatio 21. The words "insufficiency-of-the-ev the word "claims." Petitioner's objections are overru	lation of United States Magistrate Judge. <i>novo</i> review of those portions of the Report n is ordered corrected on page 21, lines 20- vidence claim" are deleted and replaced with led. In particular, petitioner's argument that
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the file and the Report and Recommend Further, the Court has engaged in a <i>de</i> to which objections have been made. The Report and Recommendatio 21. The words "insufficiency-of-the-ev the word "claims." Petitioner's objections are overru his appointed counsel failed to inve	lation of United States Magistrate Judge. <i>novo</i> review of those portions of the Report n is ordered corrected on page 21, lines 20- vidence claim" are deleted and replaced with led. In particular, petitioner's argument that estigate evidence of police misconduct is
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the file and the Report and Recommend Further, the Court has engaged in a <i>de</i> to which objections have been made. The Report and Recommendatio 21. The words "insufficiency-of-the-ev the word "claims." Petitioner's objections are overru his appointed counsel failed to inve unfounded. Petitioner did not alleg	lation of United States Magistrate Judge. <i>novo</i> review of those portions of the Report is ordered corrected on page 21, lines 20- vidence claim" are deleted and replaced with led. In particular, petitioner's argument that estigate evidence of police misconduct is ge police misconduct as a basis for his
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the file and the Report and Recommend Further, the Court has engaged in a <i>de</i> to which objections have been made. The Report and Recommendatio 21. The words "insufficiency-of-the-ev the word "claims." Petitioner's objections are overru his appointed counsel failed to inve unfounded. Petitioner did not alleg	lation of United States Magistrate Judge. <i>novo</i> review of those portions of the Report n is ordered corrected on page 21, lines 20- vidence claim" are deleted and replaced with led. In particular, petitioner's argument that estigate evidence of police misconduct is
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the file and the Report and Recommend Further, the Court has engaged in a <i>de</i> to which objections have been made. The Report and Recommendatio 21. The words "insufficiency-of-the-ev the word "claims." Petitioner's objections are overru his appointed counsel failed to inve unfounded. Petitioner did not alleg	lation of United States Magistrate Judge. <i>novo</i> review of those portions of the Report is ordered corrected on page 21, lines 20- vidence claim" are deleted and replaced with led. In particular, petitioner's argument that estigate evidence of police misconduct is ge police misconduct as a basis for his

1	counsel. In any event, appointed counsel did in fact file a motion under <i>Pitchess v</i> .	
2	Superior Court, 11 Cal. 3d 531 (1974), for discoverable material about the police	
3	officers in this case, but that process yielded no discoverable material. (2 RT at B-	
4	7.) Appointed counsel also explored an issue as to whether an eyewitness, Delores	
5	Porter, had been prompted by the police to select petitioner's photograph from a	
6	six-pack lineup, but Porter and the officer testified that Porter selected petitioner's	
7	photograph without any prompting, and their testimony was corroborated by	
8	videotape. (4 RT 1802, 1805, 1829, 2146).	
9	The Court accepts the findings and recommendations of the Magistrate	
10	Judge. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that (1) the Report and Recommendation	
11	of the Magistrate Judge is accepted and adopted; (2) petitioner's request for an	
12	evidentiary hearing is denied; and (3) Judgment shall be entered denying the	
13	Petition and dismissing the action with prejudice.	
14		
15	DATED: November 16, 2017	
16	nm h-	
17		
18	JOHN A. KRONSTADT UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		