

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MAURICE LALLEMAND,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, JIM  
MCDONNELL, UNGREY HOLIFIELD,  
TAWNIA ROJAS, ERNESTO  
VALENCIA, ANDREW HAGEWOOD,  
MATTHEW VANDERHORCK, ALLEN  
CASTELLANO AND DOES 1 THROUGH  
10,

*Defendants.*

Case No.: CV17-00781 TJH (SSx)

*Hon. Terry J. Hatter, Jr.*

**ORDER RE DISMISSAL WITHOUT  
PREJUDICE [JS-6]**

**TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, PLEASE TAKE  
NOTICE:** The Court has reviewed the parties’ Stipulation for an Order RE Dismissal  
Without Prejudice in this case. Plaintiff and Defendants’ articulate points and argument  
upon which the Court finds good cause to grant an Order as to the stipulation, as

1 requested. Therefore, the Court makes the following Order:  
2

- 3 i. Order that this action is dismissed without prejudice;  
4 ii. Retain jurisdiction to vacate the dismissal order and reopen this action  
5 within 180 days from the Notice of Settlement, unless, the parties request  
6 that the Court retain jurisdiction for an additional period of time necessary  
7 to finalize the settlement;  
8 iii. The parties shall file a dismissal, with prejudice, upon the settlement being  
9 finalized;  
10 iv. If no dismissal with prejudice is filed at the end of the initial 180 day  
11 period, or extended period, the parties shall appear for a status conference.  
12  
13

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15  
16 Date: March 2, 2020



17  
18  
19 HON. TERRY J. HATTER, JR.,  
20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28