UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JS-6

CIVIL MINUTES -- GENERAL

Case No. **CV 17-927-JFW(AGRx)**

Date: April 5, 2017

Title: Core Litigation Trust -v- Apollo Global Management, LLC, et al.

PRESENT:

HONORABLE JOHN F. WALTER, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Shannon Reilly None Present Courtroom Deputy Court Reporter

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFFS: ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANTS:

None None

PROCEEDINGS (IN CHAMBERS): ORDER DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE PLAINTIFF'S

MOTION TO REMAND [filed 2/21/17; Docket No. 38];

and

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' JOINT MOTION TO TRANSFER VENUE [filed 2/21/17; Docket No. 39]

On February 21, 2017, Plaintiff Core Litigation Trust, by and through its duly appointed trustee, Peter Kravitz ("Plaintiff"), filed a Motion to Remand. On March 6, 2017, Defendants Apollo Global Management, LLC; Apollo Global Securities, LLC; Apollo Management Holdings GP, LLC; Apollo Management Holdings, L.P.; Apollo Management GP, LLC; Apollo Management, L.P.; Apollo CORE Holdings GP, LLC; Apollo CORE Holdings, L.P.; Apollo Capital Management VII, LLC; Apollo Advisors VII, L.P.; Apollo Investment Fund VII, L.P.; Apollo Overseas Partners VII (Delaware), L.P.; Apollo Overseas Partners (Delaware 892) VII, L.P.; Apollo Investment Fund (PB) VII, L.P.; AP NMT Coöperatief U.A.; and Apollo Overseas Partners VII, L.P. filed their Opposition. On March 13, 2017, Plaintiff filed a Reply. On February 21, 2017, Defendants Endemol USA Holding, Inc.; AP NMT JV Newco B.V.; MediArena Holding, B.V.; and Endemol Shine North America (a fictitious entity) (collectively, the "Endemol Defendants") filed a Joint Motion to Transfer Venue. On March 6, 2017, Plaintiff filed its Opposition. On March 13, 2017, the Endemol Defendants filed a Reply. Pursuant to Rule 78 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 7-15, the Court found these matters appropriate for submission on the papers without oral argument. The matters were, therefore, removed from the Court's March 27, 2017 hearing calendar and the parties were given advance notice. After considering the moving, opposing, and reply papers, and the arguments therein, the Court rules as follows:

For the reasons stated in the Endemol Defendants' moving and reply papers, the Endemol Defendants' Joint Motion to Transfer Venue is **GRANTED**, and this action is **TRANSFERRED** to

the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. Plaintiff's Motion to Remand is **DENIED without prejudice** to refiling once the case is transferred. *See, e.g., Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi v. Stanley*, 605 F. Supp. 2d 1073, 1074 (C.D. Cal. 2009) ("Defendants submitted a meritorious motion to transfer to the Southern District of New York. This Court need not address the propriety of removal before riling on the motion to transfer").

IT IS SO ORDERED.