

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

<b>Case No.</b>	CV 17-1904 SJO (MRWx)	<b>Date</b>	June 1, 2017
-----------------	-----------------------	-------------	--------------

<b>Title</b>	Sale v. Merrill Lynch
--------------	-----------------------

<b>Present: The Honorable</b>	Michael R. Wilner
-------------------------------	-------------------

Veronica Piper	n/a
----------------	-----

Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder
--------------	---------------------------

Attorneys Present for Petitioner:	Attorneys Present for Respondent:
-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

None present	None present
--------------	--------------

**Proceedings:** ORDER RE: PROTECTIVE ORDER

The parties submitted a proposed protective order regarding discovery in this civil action. (Docket # 18.) The Court accepts and ENTERS the proposed order subject to the following:

1. ¶ 1 – A party seeking to file material under seal with the Court due to the provisions of this protective order must submit an appropriate application pursuant to Local Rule of Court 79-5.1.

2. ¶ 6.3 – Any challenge to a confidentiality designation must comply in full with the joint filing format described in Local Rule 37 for all discovery motions.

3. The parties failed to provide the Court with an adequate statement of good cause regarding the litigation. See Oliner v. Kontrabecki, 745 F. 3d 1024, 1026 (9th Cir. 2014). As stated in the Court's preferred proposed protective order (available online at Judge Wilner's procedures page at [cacd.uscourts.gov](http://cacd.uscourts.gov)), the parties must identify legitimate bases for the entry of such an order that are specific to this action; no boilerplate allowed. The entry of this order is contingent on the filing of such a statement within five court days.

4. In the future, the parties are advised to consult the webpage of the assigned judges to determine whether they have a preferred base form protective order for the use of litigants. FYI, Judge Wilner's proposed order is derived from a recommendation from the Los Angeles County Bar Association's litigation section.