

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.: 2:17-cv-02438-BRO-EDate: 7/19/2017Title: JOSE ELIAZAR RAMIREZ–HUERTA ET AL V. DISTRICT DIRECTOR

---

Present: The Honorable BEVERLY REID O’CONNELLDeputy Clerk: Renee FisherCourt Reporter/Recorder: NoneTape No.: N/A

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:

None

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

None

**Proceedings: (In Chambers)****ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION**

Plaintiff(s) are **ORDERED** to show cause why this case should not be dismissed, for lack of prosecution. Link v. Wabash R. Co., 370 U.S. 626 (1962) (court has inherent power to dismiss for lack of prosecution on its own motion). In this matter:

Plaintiff(s) have failed to file a proof of service within 90 days of the filing of the Complaint. Plaintiff(s) can satisfy this order by showing that service was effectuated within the 90 day deadline or by showing good cause for the failure to do so. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

Plaintiffs must respond to this order within 20 days. **Failure to respond to this OSC will be deemed consent to the dismissal of the action.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Initials of Deputy Clerk: rfi