In Re: Jose Rafael Solano Doc. 7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	No. CV 17-2811 FMO			Date	April 19, 2017
Title	In re Jose R.	Solano			
Present: The Honorable Fernando M. Olguin, United States District Judge					
Vanessa Figueroa		None		None	
Deputy Clerk		Court Reporter / Recorder		Tape No.	
Attorney Present for		or Plaintiff(s):	s): Attorney Present for Defendant(s):		for Defendant(s):
None			None		
Proceedings: (In Chambers) Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal for Lack of Jurisdiction					issal for Lack of
On April 13, 2017, this bankruptcy action was appealed to this court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 158(a) or § 158(b). (See Dkt. 1, Notice of Appeal). The bankruptcy order being appealed is the Order Granting Motion for Relief from Automatic Stay, entered on April 7, 2017. (See id. at 1). However, the Notice of Appeal does not include a copy of the order dated April 7, 2017. (See, generally, id.; see Dkt. 3, Notice of Appeal Deficiency) (noting that Notice of Appeal "[d]oes not include entered stamped copy of order, judgment or decree"). Thus, the record is incomplete. District courts have jurisdiction to hear appeals from "final judgments, orders, and decrees[,]" and "with leave of the court, from other interlocutory orders and decrees[.]" 28 U.S.C. §§ 158(a)(1) & 158(a)(3). The record does not indicate that a final judgment, order, or decree has been entered. Rather, on April 14, 2017, the bankruptcy court entered a stipulation continuing the hearing on the motion for relief from stay to June 27, 2017. (In re Solano, Case No. BK 16-26833,					
Dkt. 54, Bankruptcy Court's Order of April 14, 2017). Thus, it appears that final judgment has not been entered, and the court does not have jurisdiction over this case.					
Based on the foregoing, IT IS ORDERED that:					
1. No later than April 28, 2017, appellant shall show cause in writing why this action should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Appellee may submit a response on the same day.					
being dismi pursuant to	ssed for lack o	f prosecution a <u>See also</u> Fed. F	nd for failure to compl	y with t	may result in the action the orders of the court, hR. Co., 370 U.S. 626,
			Initials of Pre	eparer	vdr