UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	2:17-cv-02826-RSWL-JCx	Date	April 26, 2017
Title	Isidro Parra v. Exide Technologies et al		

Present: The Honorable	RONALD S.W. LEW, Senior U.S. District Judge

Joseph Remigio	n/a
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder
Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:	Attorneys Present for Defendants:
Not Present	Not Present

Proceedings: **IN CHAMBERS - ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION**

It is the responsibility of plaintiff to respond promptly to all Orders and to prosecute the action diligently, including filing proofs of service and stipulations extending time to respond. If necessary, plaintiff/s must also pursue Rule 55 remedies promptly upon default of any defendant. All stipulations affecting the progress of the case must be approved by the Court, Local Rule 7-1.

The file in this case lacks the papers that would show it is being timely prosecuted, as reflected below. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, hereby orders plaintiff /s to show cause in writing no later than **May 5, 2017**, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution.

As an alternative to a written response by plaintiff(s), the Court will accept one of the following, if it is filed on or before the above date, as evidence that the matter is being prosecuted diligently:

•   Defendant Exide Technologies' Answer/Response to the Complaint/Plaintiff's Request for Entry of Default on defendant/s OR appropriate request for dismissal of this action.

No oral argument of this matter will be heard unless ordered by the Court. The Order will stand submitted upon the filing of a responsive pleading or motion on or before the date upon which a response by plaintiff/s is due.

Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions including the dismissal of this action.

	:	00

Initials of Preparer   JRE