United States District Court Central District of California

NICOLE ROMANO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

V.

SCI DIRECT, INC.; and DOES 1–50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case № 2:17-cv-03537-ODW (JEM)

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE APPLICATION [17]

On July 10, 2017, Plaintiff Nicole Romano filed a motion to extend the Rule 23-3 deadline for class certification until February 5, 2018, and served the motion electronically on Defendant SCI Direct, Inc. (ECF No. 14.) At 12:04 a.m. on July 18, 2017, Plaintiff filed a notice of non-opposition. (ECF No. 15.) At 10:49 a.m. on July 18, 2017, Defendant filed its opposition, noting that the opposition was not late filed because Plaintiff had not served its motion a full twenty-eight days before the hearing date. (Opp'n 2 n.1, ECF No. 16.) At 12:41 p.m. on July 18, 2017, Plaintiff filed the instant ex parte application to strike Defendant's late-filed opposition. (ECF No. 17.)

Regardless whether Defendant's opposition was late filed or not, Plaintiff has not shown that it was in any way prejudiced by Defendant's "late filing." *See Kolob*

Heating & Cooling v. Ins. Corp. of N.Y., 154 F. App'x 569, 570 (9th Cir. 2005) (upholding district court decision to allow late filing, where the filing was four days late and did not result in prejudice). Further, Defendant has not previously filed any document late. Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** Plaintiff's ex parte application to strike Defendant's opposition. Plaintiff shall file any reply in support of its motion on or before July 25, 2017. The hearing on Plaintiff's motion shall remain on calendar for August 7, 2017.

. |

IT IS SO ORDERED.

July 18, 2017

OTIS D. WRIGHT, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE