1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10   IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

11   FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12   WESTERN DIVISION

13

14

STRIKEFORCE TECHNOLOGIES,) Civil Action No.
15 INC.,   ) 2:17-cv-04314-JAK-SK
)
16   Plaintiff,   ) **FINAL JUDGMENT**
)
17   v.   ) **JS-6**
)
18 SECUREAUTH CORPORATION,)
)
19   Defendant.   )
)

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On July 17, 2017, StrikeForce Technologies, Inc. ("StrikeForce") filed a First Amended Complaint accusing SecureAuth Corporation ("SecureAuth") of infringing Claims 1, 7, 11, 17-19, 21, 22, 28, 31, 37, and 38 of U.S. Patent 7,870,599, Claims 1-6, 8, 10-16, 20-22, 46-48, and 50-54 of U.S. Patent 8,484,698, and Claims 1, 7, 11, and 17-19 of U.S. Patent 8,713,701 (the "Asserted Claims").

On July 21, 2017, SecureAuth filed a Motion to Dismiss on the basis that the Asserted Claims were all invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

On December 1, 2017, the Court issued an Order granting SecureAuth's Motion to Dismiss with prejudice thereby invalidating all Asserted Claims under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED THAT:

1.   Judgment is hereby entered in favor of SecureAuth and against StrikeForce as to the invalidity of the Asserted Claims;

2.   StrikeForce shall take nothing and all claims asserted by StrikeForce against SecureAuth in this action are dismissed with prejudice;

3.   SecureAuth may seek to recover costs in the sum of an amount to be determined by the filing and approval of the appropriate application. The amount determined may be subject to a tax and/or interest at the legal rate as provided by law; and

4.   Because no claims remain in this action, the Court directs the Clerk to enter this Final Judgment as set forth above pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 28, 2017

_____
JOHN A. KRONSTADT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

-1-