

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

NOTE: CHANGES MADE BY THE COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LANARD TOYS LIMITED,  
Plaintiff,  
vs.  
TARGET CORPORATION,  
Defendant.

Case No.: 2:17-cv-04472-GW-JPR  
Hon. George H. Wu

**PROTECTIVE ORDER REGARDING  
DEPOSITIONS OF TARGET  
EMPLOYEES**

Complaint Filed: June 15, 2017

1 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED based on Defendant Target Corporation's *ex parte*  
2 application and the materials submitted therewith, Plaintiff's opposition and  
3 accompanying materials, and the November 5, 2018 oral argument, that the protective  
4 order sought by Target Corporation related to Plaintiff Lanard Toys Limited's noticed  
5 depositions of Elaine Knodt, Amy Wokerstorfer, Sheila Shor, and Michael Kroll is  
6 GRANTED in part, with the following provisions:

7 1) The four depositions as noticed in the original deposition notices dated  
8 October 18, 2018 are taken off calendar;

9 2) Lanard is barred from deposing Michael Kroll (whether by notice or  
10 subpoena) unless it obtains an order from this Court expressly permitting Mr. Kroll's  
11 deposition;

12 3) Any depositions of Knodt, Wokerstorfer, or Shor must be taken at the  
13 offices of Faegre Baker Daniels LLP in Minnesota unless this Court orders otherwise;  
14 and

15 4) Prior to any deposition notices or subpoenas for depositions being issued  
16 by either party in the action, the parties are required to meet and confer and make  
17 reasonable, good-faith efforts to establish a mutually-agreeable date for each  
18 deposition.

19  
20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21  
22 Dated: November 7, 2018



23 \_\_\_\_\_  
24 Hon. Jean P. Rosenbluth  
25 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
26  
27  
28