Waterford To	wnship Police and Fire Retirement System v. Mattel, In	c. et al
1		FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 9/19/2018 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
2		BY:RFDEPUTY
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	WESTERN DIVISION	
13		7
14	WATERFORD TOWNSHIP POLICE &	Case No. 2:17-cv-04732-VAP (KSx)
15	FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTEM,	FINAL JUDGMENT IN FAVOR
16	Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	OF MATTEL, INC., CHRISTOPHER A. SINCLAIR,
17		RICHARD L. DICKSON, KEVIN
18	Plaintiff,	M. FARR and JOSEPH B. JOHNSON.
19	vs.	
20	MATTEL, INC., CHRISTOPHER A.	
21	SINCLAIR, RICHARD L. DICKSON,	
22	KEVIN M. FARR and JOSEPH B. JOHNSON,	
23	D-f14-	
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
27		
28		

Dog SZE

On May 24, 2018, the Court issued an order granting Defendants' motion to dismiss Lead Plaintiff's Consolidated Complaint (Dkt. No. 50) (the "Complaint") in its entirety with leave to amend. (Dkt. No. 65.) Lead Plaintiff Gilberto Castro has decided not to file an amended complaint pursuant to the May 24, 2018 order. Therefore, this action is now dismissed with prejudice and judgment is hereby entered in favor of all Defendants. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 19, 2018 Hondable Virginia A Chief United States District Judge