

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL**

**‘O’**

<b>Case No.</b>	CV17-4735-CAS(PLAx)	<b>Date</b>	August 15, 2017
<b>Title</b>	ANTHONY OLIVER v. SCRAM OF CALIFORNIA, INC.; ET AL.		

**Present: The Honorable**      CHRISTINA A. SNYDER

Catherine Jeang

Not Present

N/A

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter / Recorder

Tape No.

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

Not Present

Not Present

**Proceedings:**      (IN CHAMBERS) - PLAINTIFF’S MOTION TO APPOINT THE PLAINTIFF COUNSEL (Filed July 21, 2017, dkt. 12)

The Court finds this motion appropriate for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 78; CD. Cal. L.R. 7–15. Accordingly, the hearing date of August 21, 2017 is vacated, and the matter is hereby taken under submission.

On June 27, 2017, plaintiff Anthony Oliver (“plaintiff”) filed a complaint *pro se* against defendants Scram of California, Inc., Alcohol Monitoring Systems, and Does 1-10, asserting claims under California’s Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 *et seq.* and California’s False Advertising Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500 *et seq.* Dkt. 1. On June 30, 2017, the Court granted plaintiff leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Dkt. 8. On July 22, 2017, plaintiff filed a first amended complaint, adding as defendants Edmund G. Brown, Jr. and Xavier Becerra in their respective individual capacities as Governor and Attorney General of the State of California. Dkt. 19. The amended complaint asserted two additional claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and requested injunctive relief. *Id.* dkt. 19. Pending before this Court is plaintiff’s motion, filed July 21, 2017, requesting that this Court appoint counsel to represent him pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Dkt. 12.

“[I]t is well-established that there is generally no constitutional right to counsel in civil cases.” United States v. Sardone, 94 F.3d 1233, 1236 (9th Cir. 1996). However, “[t]he court may request an attorney to represent any person unable to afford counsel.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). This statute does not authorize courts to require counsel to represent such litigants, but only to request representation on a *pro bono* basis. See Mallard v. U.S. Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 307–08 (1989). An appointment of counsel may be designated under § 1915(e)(1) only in “exceptional circumstances.” Wilborn v.

