Kylie Herd v. Airbus SAS et al			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	KYLIE HERD, an individual,	Case No. 2:17-cv-05001	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF	
13	VS.	DEFENDANTS AIRBUS S.A.S. AND ROLLS-ROYCE PLC	
14	AIRBUS S.A.S., a foreign corporation doing business in the State of California; AIRBUS GROUP HQ	ROLLS-ROTCE TEC	
15	INC., a corporation doing business in the State of California; ROLLS-		
16	ROYCE plc, a foreign corporation doing business in the State of		
17	California,		
18	Defendant.		
19			
20	WHEREAS, on July 7, 2017, Plaintiff Kylie Herd ("Plaintiff") filed this		
21	action before this Court;		
22	WHEREAS, on August 4, 2017, Plaintiff voluntarily dismissed Airbus Group		
23	HQ Inc. from this action;		
24	WHEREAS, on November 20, 2017, Defendant Airbus S.A.S. moved to		
25	dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages based on the forum non conveniens		
26	doctrine and for failure to state a claim pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure		
27	12(b)(6);		
28	IDDODOGEDI ODDED ENTEDING ENVAL HIDOME	THE IN EAUOD OF DEPENDANTS APPRISS AS A STATE	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF DEFENDANTS AIRBUS S.A.S. AND ROLLS-ROYCE PLC		

Doc. 38

1	WHEREAS, on November 20, 2017, Defendant Rolls-Royce PLC joined in	
2	the section of Airbus S.A.S.'s motion to dismiss that was based on the <i>forum non</i>	
3	conveniens doctrine;	
4	WHEREAS, on December 11, 2017, this Court granted Airbus S.A.S.'s and	
5	Rolls-Royce PLC's motion to dismiss based on the <i>forum non conveniens</i> doctrine.	
6	NOW THEREFORE, it is ORDERED and ADJUDGED that final judgment	
7	is entered in favor of Airbus S.A.S. and Rolls-Royce PLC and against Plaintiff on	
8	all claims asserted in this action.	
9		
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
11	Rese will	
12	Dated: December 27, 2017.	
13	Hon. Stephen V. Wilson	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	<u>- 1 -</u>	