

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PAUL EDDIE BLAND II,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
CAROLYN KUHL, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. CV 17-5442 DSF(JC)  
ORDER DISMISSING ACTION  
WITHOUT PREJUDICE

On July 24, 2017, plaintiff, who was then in custody and is proceeding *pro se*, filed a Complaint and an Application to Proceed without Prepayment of Fees (“IFP Application”). On August 3, 2017, the Court issued an order (“August Order”) denying the IFP Application for the reasons explained therein, directing plaintiff to pay the filing fees in full within 30 days, and advising plaintiff that if he did not pay the filing fees within the foregoing time frame, the case would be dismissed. The August Order was sent to plaintiff at his address of record but was returned undelivered on August 11, 2017 with an indication that he had been discharged. Although more than fifteen days have passed since the August Order sent to plaintiff was returned undelivered, plaintiff has not notified the Court of his current address, contrary to Local Rule 41-6.

///  
///

1 As plaintiff is not entitled to proceed without prepayment of fees/in forma  
2 pauperis, has not paid the filing fee by the aforementioned deadline, and has failed  
3 to keep the Court informed of his current address in violation of Local Rule 41-6,  
4 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED.

5 9/20/17

6 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

7 

8 \_\_\_\_\_  
9 HONORABLE DALE S. FISCHER  
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28