UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MEMORANDUM

CV 17-5872 DSF (KSx) Case No. 1/24/18 Date Title Brunilda Stephens, et al. v. Nordstrom, Inc., et al. DALE S. FISCHER, United States District Judge Present: The Honorable Debra Plato Not Present Deputy Clerk Court Reporter Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs: Attorneys Present for Defendants: Not Present Not Present (In Chambers) Order to Show Cause re Adequacy of Counsel **Proceedings:**

Although adequacy of counsel is ordinarily determined at a later stage of the proceedings, it appears an early preliminary determination of whether Plaintiff's counsel is likely to be found adequate would serve the interests of the putative class and of judicial economy. That is particularly true in this case, where Plaintiff's counsel have previously filed a virtually identical case in which they failed to file a timely motion for class certification, and in this case failed to comply with Local Rule 83-1.3.1. In addition, review of the dockets in the federal cases in which Plaintiff's counsel have appeared suggests that counsel are likely not adequate Therefore, Plaintiff's counsel are ordered to show cause in writing no later than February 8, 2018 why they would be adequate counsel to represent the class if a class were certified. A hearing on the matter will take place on February 12, 2018 at 11:00 a.m. In appointing class counsel, the Court:

- (A) must consider:
 - (i) the work counsel has done in identifying or investigating potential claims in the action;
 - (ii) counsel's experience in handling class actions, other complex litigation, and the types of claims asserted in the action;
 - (iii) counsel's knowledge of the applicable law; and
 - (iv) the resources that counsel will commit to representing the class;
- (B) may consider any other matter pertinent to counsel's ability to fairly and adequately represent the interests of the class;
- (C) may order potential class counsel to provide information on any subject

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MEMORANDUM

pertinent to the appointment and to propose terms for attorney's fees and nontaxable costs.

Fed.R.Civ.P. 23(g)(1).

The response should provide full and complete information responsive to Rule 23(g)(1)(A) and (B), and sufficient for the Court to make an informed decision. Among other things, counsel must:

- 1. Identify (by court, case name, case number, etc.) all putative class actions filed by any law firm and any individual attorney seeking to be named as class counsel in any court, and state whether a motion for class certification was filed, whether a class was certified, and, if so, whether the firm or attorney was named as class counsel (if there are more than 20 for any individual or firm, describe the most recent 20);
- 2. Describe the trial experience of each individual attorney seeking to be named as class counsel;
- 3. Describe the experience of each individual attorney seeking to be named as class counsel in other complex cases and in the types of claims asserted in this action, and describe the nature of the cases/claims;
- 4. Identify (by court, case name, case number, etc.) <u>all</u> cases in which the court found any law firm or any individual attorney seeking to be named here as class counsel not to be adequate to represent a class or otherwise declined to grant counsel's request to be appointed, and specify the reasons given by the court (or provide a copy of the opinion or decision);
- 5. Identify (by court, case name, case number, etc.) <u>all</u> cases (not limited to class actions or putative class actions) or circumstances in which the conduct or ethics (professional or otherwise) (including billing practices) of any law firm or any individual attorney seeking here to be named as class counsel have been the subject of written inquiry by any court, administrative agency, or bar association, and provide the complete facts and disposition of the matter;
- 6. Identify (by court, case name, case number, etc.) <u>all</u> cases (not limited to class actions or putative class actions) in which any law firm or any individual attorney seeking to be named here as class counsel has been admonished or sanctioned by any court or agency and provide the complete facts and disposition of the matter;
- 7. Identify (by court, case name, case number, and charge) any criminal conviction of any counsel seeking to be named as class counsel;
- 8. Identify (by court, case name, case number, etc.) <u>all</u> cases (not limited to class actions or putative class actions) in which any counsel or any law firm representing Plaintiff has previously represented, or is currently representing, Plaintiff;
- 9. Provide any agreement relating to this action with any person or entity other than Plaintiff;
 - 10. Provide counsel's proposal for terms for attorney's fees and nontaxable costs;

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MEMORANDUM

- 11. Describe any liens against the assets, etc. of any law firm or any individual attorney seeking to be named here as class counsel;
- 12. Describe how counsel intends to staff this case and the means by which counsel will fund the necessary costs, including expert's fees. (The latter information may be filed in camera and under seal.)

See Rule 23(g)(1)(C)

The Court requires that each attorney and firm seeking here to be named as class counsel answer separately (but not necessarily in a separate document). In addition, counsel must provide all information responsive to items 4, 5, and 6 regarding any applicable case or circumstance involving a former law firm, but based in whole or in part on the conduct of the individual attorney seeking here to be named as class counsel.

IT IS SO ORDERED.