UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	CV 17-6929 PA (JEMx)			Date	March 12, 2018
Title	Securities & Exchange Commission v. Edward Chen, et al.				
Present: Th	ne Honorable	PERCY ANDERSO	N, UNITED STATES DI	STRICT	JUDGE
Renee A. Fisher			Not Reported		N/A
Deputy Clerk			Court Reporter		Tape No.
Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:			Attorneys Present for Defendants:		
None			None		
Proceedings: Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal For Lack Of Prosecution					
In the According March 26, Home Para Galaxy LP, the court fit to Show Cathis Order 1	R. Civ. P. 4(er service (60) ne present case y, the court, of 2018 why the dise Investment and Mega Heads that this in	m). Additionally, de days if the defendance, it appears that one on its own motion, o is action should not lent Center LLC, GH dome, LLC. Pursuan matter is appropriate and submitted upon the the imposition of sain	defendant within 90 days refendants must usually a not is the United States). The or more of these time process plaintiff to show the dismissed for lack of Investment LP, GH Deut to Rule 78 of the Feder for submission without the filing of plaintiff's resenctions, including but not be the formula of the plaintiff's resenctions, including but not be the filing of plaintiff's resenctions, including but not be the filing of plaintiff's resenctions.	nswer to Fed. Received sause in Exprosection Grand Rule oral arguments.	the complaint within . Civ. P. 12(a)(1). has not been met. writing on or before ution as to defendants roup, LLC, Golden es of Civil Procedure, gument. The Order Failure to respond to
			Initials of Prepar	rer	rf