

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	CV 17-7841 FMO (ASx)	Date	February 14, 2018
Title	Carline Thie v. Anthony Christopher, et al.		

Present: The Honorable	Fernando M. Olguin, United States District Judge		
	Vanessa Figueroa	None Present	
	Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder	
	Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:	Attorneys Present for Defendants:	
	None Present	None Present	

Proceedings: (In Chambers) Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal Re: Lack of Prosecution

The court has reviewed plaintiff's Application for Order and Publication of Summons (Dkt. 18, "Application") in which plaintiff seeks an order allowing her to serve defendant Anthony Christopher ("Christopher") by publication pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 415.50. (See id. at 3). Plaintiff also seeks additional time within which to serve Christopher.

Rule 4(e) provides in relevant part that "[u]nless federal law provides otherwise, an individual . . . may be served in a judicial district of the United States by . . . following state law for serving a summons in an action brought in courts of general jurisdiction in the state where the district court is located or where service is made[.]" Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(e)(1). California law, in turn, provides that "[a] summons may be served by publication if upon affidavit it appears to the satisfaction of the court in which the action is pending that the party to be served cannot with reasonable diligence be served in another manner specified in" in Article 3 of the California Code of Civil Procedure. Cal. Code Civ. P. 415.50(a); THC-Orange County Inc. v. Valdez, 2017 WL 2171185, *1 (N.D. Cal. 2017). "Because of due process concerns, service by publication must be allowed only as a last resort." Felix v. Anderson, 2015 WL 545483, *2 (N.D. Cal. 2015) (internal quotation marks omitted).

Plaintiff has not shown that she has exhausted other means of serving Christopher. (See, generally, Dkt. 18, Application). For instance, plaintiff has not shown that she attempted to serve Christopher pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 415.30. (See, generally, id.). Since service by publication should be permitted as a last resort given that it rarely results in actual notice, see Watts v. Crawford, 10 Cal.4th 743, 749 n. 5 (1995) ("Before allowing a plaintiff to resort to service by publication, the courts necessarily require [it] to show exhaustive attempts to locate the defendant, for it is generally recognized that service by publication rarely results in actual notice.") (internal quotation marks omitted); Donel, Inc. v. Badalian, 87 Cal.App.3d 327, 332 (1978) (Service by publication should be employed "as a last resort"), the court will deny plaintiff's application to the extent it seeks an order allowing service by publication.

Moreover, while plaintiff's process server made multiple attempts to serve Christopher at his residence, the court notes that plaintiff did not begin attempting service until December 23,

