UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

Case N	o.	CV 17-8658-PA (KK)	Date	e: August 6, 2018
Title:	Asik	Manokzadeh v. Nancy A. Berrhill		
Present:	: The	Honorable KENLY KIYA KATO, UN	IITED STATES M	AGISTRATE JUDGE
DEB TAYLOR			Not Reported	
Deputy Clerk			Court Reporter	
Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s):			Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):	
None Present		None Present	None Present	
Proceedings: (In Chambers) Order to Show Cause Why Action Should Not Be Dismissed For Failure to Prosecute and Comply With Court Orders				
I. <u>BACKGROUND</u>				
On November 30, 2017, Plaintiff Asik Manokzadeh ("Plaintiff") filed a Complaint challenging the denial of his application for Disability Insurance Benefits and Title XVI Supplemental Security Income by the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration ("Defendant"). ECF Docket No. ("Dkt.") 1.				
On December 4, 2017, the Court issued a Case Management Order ("CMO") setting various dates, including the date by which the parties were to file a Joint Stipulation. Dkt. 7.				
On May 17, 2018, the Court granted Defendant's ex parte application to modify the CMO and ordered Plaintiff to file a motion for summary judgment by July 16, 2018. Dkt. 15.				
		the date of this Order, Plaintiff has not f 17, 2018 Order, and has not requested a		
/// ///				
Page 1 of	f 2	CIVIL MINUTES—	GENERAL	Initials of Deputy Clerk

II. DISCUSSION

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b), the Court may dismiss an action with prejudice for failure to prosecute or failure to comply with any court order. <u>See</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

Here, Plaintiff has failed to file a motion for summary judgment as ordered by the Court on May 17, 2018. Consequently, under Rule 41(b), the Court may properly dismiss the instant action with prejudice for failure to prosecute and comply with a court order. However, before dismissing this action, the Court will afford Plaintiff an opportunity to explain the failure to file a motion for summary judgment.

III. ORDER

Accordingly, Plaintiff is **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE**, in writing, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and/or comply with court orders. Plaintiff shall have up to and including **August 27, 2018**, to respond to this Order. **Plaintiff is cautioned that failure to timely file a response to this Order will be deemed by the Court consent to the dismissal of this action with prejudice.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.