1 /

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARCOS MUNOZ,
Plaintiff,
V.
ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED
STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
ORRECTIONS, et al,
Defendant.

Defendant.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Third Amended Complaint (the "TAC"), the motion for summary judgment filed by Defendants Little, Nelson, and Estrada (the "Motion") and related briefing, and the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge ("Report"). The time for filing Objections to the Report has passed, and no Objections have been filed with the Court.

//

Having completed its review, the Court accepts the findings and recommendations set forth in the Report. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the Motion is GRANTED, and judgment shall be entered dismissing all claims against Defendants Little, Nelson, and Estrada without prejudice.

Plaintiff is reminded that his deadline for either dismissing the Doe Defendants in the TAC or filing a motion for leave to file a Fourth Amended Complaint that identifies all Defendants by name and his deadline for either dismissing his claims against the Defendant County or filing a Fourth Amended Complaint amending his claims against the Defendant County have both passed. (*See* Dkt. No. 74.) Accordingly, if Plaintiff wishes to pursue this action against the Doe Defendants and/or the Defendant County, he must file a Fourth Amended Complaint (or a motion for leave to file a Fourth Amended Complaint) immediately.

DATED: September 9, 2020

CORMAC J. CARNEY UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE