

**O
JS-6**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**United States District Court
Central District of California**

JANE DOE et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES et al.,
Defendants.

Case № 2:20-cv-02748-ODW (PVCx)

FINAL JUDGMENT


Pursuant to the Court’s Order Granting in Part Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment and Dismissing State Law Claims, it is therefore **ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED** as follows:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1. The Court **DISMISSES WITH PREJUDICE** Plaintiffs' First, Second, Third, Fourth, and Eighth claims for relief, which were all alleged pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
2. The Court declines to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over, and **DISMISSES WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, Plaintiffs' Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Ninth, and Tenth claims for relief.
3. Defendants shall have **JUDGMENT** in their favor on Plaintiffs' First, Second, Third, Fourth, and Eighth claims for relief.
4. The Clerk of the Court shall close the case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

January 17, 2023



OTIS D. WRIGHT, II
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE