

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
WESTERN DIVISION

DEWITT DARRAN JOHNSON,	}	Case No. 2:20-cv-03058-JWH (JDE)
Petitioner,	}	ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
v.	}	
W. L. MONTGOMERY, Warden,	}	
Respondent.	}	

---

1 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the records and files  
2 herein, including the Petition (Dkt. 1), Petitioner’s Notice of Dismissal of  
3 Grounds Three and Five in the Petition (Dkt. 13), Respondent’s Answer to the  
4 Petition (Dkt. 19) and supporting records, Petitioner’s Traverse (Dkt. 21), the  
5 Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 24),  
6 and the objections to the Report and Recommendation filed by Petitioner  
7 (Dkt. 27 & 29). The Court notes that in his Objections, received by the Court  
8 on February 4, 2021, Petitioner asserted that he then “lack[ed] adequate and  
9 meaningful access to the law library.” Dkt. 27 at 1. In response, the assigned  
10 magistrate judge issued an order on February 8, 2021, *sue sponte* providing  
11 Petitioner an additional 30 days, to March 12, 2021, of supplement the existing  
12 objections if he so desired. See Dkt. 28. Petitioner did not file further  
13 supplemental objections by March 12, 2021 or seek additional time within  
14 which to do so.


15 Having engaged in a *de novo* review of those portions of the R&R to  
16 which objections have been made, the Court concurs with and accepts the  
17 findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.

18 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

- 19 1. Petitioner’s request for an evidentiary hearing is denied; and  
20 2. Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this  
21 action with prejudice.

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23  
24 Dated: July 15, 2021

25   
26 JOHN W. HOLCOMB  
27 United States District Judge