Leah Kando v. 0	City of Long Beach et al		CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT	Doc. 88
			October 1, 2021	
			CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY: VPC DEPUTY	
1			JS-6	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
3	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
4		Case No	2:20-cv-04520-SB-	F.
5	LEAH KANDO			
6 7	Dlaintiff			
	Plaintiff,			
8 9	V.	FINA	L JUDGMENT	
10	CITY OF LONG BEACH, et al.,			
11	Defendants.			
12				
13		ı		
14	For the reasons set forth in the Coun	rt's partial g	grant and partial der	nial of
15	Defendants' Motion to Strike and Dismiss the First Amended Complaint (Dkt. No.			
16	41), the Court's grant of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss certain causes of action in			
17	Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 53), and in the separate Order on			
18	Summary Judgment entered this day, it is:			
19	ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Plaintiff Leah Kando shall take nothing on			
20	her claims against Defendants City of Long Beach, Lindsey Taylor-Law, Alfredo			
21	Magana, Nadia Klute, Michael Ogden, and Jesus Valdez. Plaintiff's claims are			
22	DISMISSED with prejudice.			
23	This is a Final Judgment.			
24	OBOR			
25	Dated: October 1, 2021			
26			LUMENFELD, JR. ES DISTRICT JUDO	
27	ONII	LUSIAII	23 DISTRICT JUDG	JL
28				
		1		
I	ı			I