A.B. v. Facebo	ok, Inc. et al	Doo	c. <mark>68</mark>
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION		
10	RANDY CERVANTES,	CASE NO. 2:20-CV-09012-CBM-MAAx	
11	Plaintiff,		
12	VS.	FINAL JUDGMENT [JS-6]	
13	FACEBOOK, INC., WHATSAPP, INC.,	Before: Hon. Consuelo B. Marshall	
14	247FOREXTRADE Also Known As		
15	4REXTRADE, ROSE LUND, JANE DOE, AND DOES 1 Through 100,		
16	Inclusive,		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
Gibson, Dunn &			

	1	
2	2	
	3	
4	4	
	5	
(	6	
,	7	
	8	
(	9	
1 (	0	
1	1	
12	2	
1.	3	
4 ا	4	
1 :	5	
1 (		
1′	7	
18		
19	9	
2(	0	
2	1	
22	2	
2.	3	
24	4	
2:	5	
2(	6	
2′	7	

Consistent with the Court's June 1, 2021 order granting Defendants Facebook and WhatsApp's motion to dismiss with prejudice (Dkt. No. 49), the Court's June 16, 2021 order dismissing Plaintiff's claims against the remaining Defendants with prejudice (Dkt. No. 54), and the Court's minute order granting Defendants' Motion for Entry of Final Judgment and to Compel Disclosure of Plaintiff's True Name, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58 final judgment is entered on all claims in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff Randy Cervantes. Plaintiff shall take nothing on his action against Defendants.

DATED: November 15, 2021

ce piza

HON. CONSUELO B. MARSHALL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE