

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CIVIL MINUTES—
GENERAL

Case No. 2:20-cv-10553-SSS-KSx

Date July 29, 2022

Title *Tirzah J. Franklin, et al. v. City of Los Angeles, et al.*

Present: The Honorable SUNSHINE S. SYKES, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Irene Vazquez

Not Reported

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter

Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s):

Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):

None Present

None Present

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AS TO WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS COURT'S REASSIGNMENT ORDER

On June 24, 2022, the Court entered its Reassignment Order (the “Order”) [Dkt. 92], that 1) informed the parties of the case reassignment; 2) provided this Court’s location; 3) provided the parties vital information on previously scheduled deadlines and dates and how this Court would be addressing those deadlines and dates; 4) directed each party to review and become familiar with any all applicable standing orders; and 5) ordered the parties to file a joint case management statement within fifteen days from the date of the Order. As of today’s date, the parties have failed to file the Court-ordered case management statement.

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** counsel for Plaintiffs—Atyria S. Clark—and counsel for Defendants—Emily Cohen; David Justin Kahn; Gregory K. Lee; Shelby B. Crawford; and Daniel P. Fallon—to show cause why they should not each be sanctioned in the amount of \$250 for their failure to comply with the Court’s Reassignment Order.

Counsel is required to respond in writing on or before **August 12, 2022**, at 12:00 noon. Counsel's failure to respond, or counsel's filing of an unsatisfactory response, may result in the imposition of sanctions against counsel.

IT IS SO ORDERED.