1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11		
12	JOSE RAMON MORALES,	Case No. CV 21-1485-DSF (JEM)
13	Petitioner,	ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND
14	V.	RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636, the Court has reviewed the pleadings, the	
19	records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate	
20	Judge. No Objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed within the time	
21	allowed for Objections. The Court accepts the findings and recommendations of the	
22	Magistrate Judge.	
23	Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:	
24	(1) Respondent's Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED.	
25	(2) Pursuant to Ninth Circuit Rule 22-3(a), the Court hereby REFERS the Petition	
26	to the Ninth Circuit for consideration as an application for leave to file a second or	
27	successive habeas corpus petition.	
28		

1 "Petitioner is advised that this referral alone does not constitute compliance with 2 Circuit Rule 22-3 and 28 U.S.C. § 2255(h). Petitioner must still file a motion for leave to 3 proceed in the Court of Appeals and make the requisite showing" to convince the Ninth 4 Circuit to grant him leave to file this second-or-successive habeas petition." Henderson v. 5 Madden, No. LA CV 16-02003-VBF (AGR), 2016 WL 4009873, at *3 n.1, *5-6 (C.D. Cal. 6 June 3, 2016) (collecting cases in which Ninth Circuit district courts issued this advisement 7 to pro se habeas petitioners). Petitioner is directed to consult this statute and Ninth Circuit 8 Rule 22-3 for further information.

9 (3) The Clerk shall send copies of the Petition and this Order to the Ninth Circuit.
10 The Clerk also shall mail Petitioner a copy of Ninth Circuit Rule 22-3 and Ninth Circuit Court
11 of Appeals Form 12, entitled "Application for Leave to File Second or Successive Petition
12 Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 or Motion Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255."

(4) The Petition is DISMISSED without prejudice to its refiling after Petitioner obtains
permission to do so from the Ninth Circuit.

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(5) Judgment shall be entered accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 DATED: June 24, 2021

ale S. Lischer

DALE S. FISCHER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2