

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
CIVIL MINUTES—  
GENERAL

Case No. 2:21-cv-03362-SSS-RAOx

Date July 29, 2022

Title *Experience Hendrix, L.L.C., et al. v. Andrew Pitsicalis*

Present: The Honorable SUNSHINE S. SYKES, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Irene Vazquez

Not Reported

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter

Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s):

Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):

None Present

None Present

**Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE AS TO WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS COURT'S REASSIGNMENT ORDER**

On June 24, 2022, the Court entered its Reassignment Order (the “Order”) [Dkt. 55], that 1) informed the parties of the case reassignment; 2) provided this Court’s location; 3) provided the parties vital information on previously scheduled deadlines and dates and how this Court would be addressing those deadlines and dates; 4) directed each party to review and become familiar with any all applicable standing orders; and 5) ordered the parties to file a joint case management statement within fifteen days from the date of the Order. As of today’s date, the parties have failed to file the Court-ordered case management statement.

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** counsel for Plaintiffs—Charles E. Weir; Benjamin Eli Strauss; Dorothy M. Weber; and Michael Gregory Nordon—and Defendant—Andrew Pitsicalis—to show cause why they should not each be sanctioned in the amount of \$250 for their failure to comply with the Court’s Reassignment Order.

The parties are required to respond in writing on or before **August 19, 2022**, at 12:00 noon. Failure to respond, or the filing of an unsatisfactory response, may result in the imposition of sanctions.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**