Doc. 60

Dockets.Justia.com

People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals, Inc. v. Los Angeles County M... Transit Authority et al

In accordance with this Court's orders in this case, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

- (1) The Court **GRANTS JUDGMENT** to Plaintiff People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals ("PETA) and against Defendant Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority ("Metro");
- (2) The Court **DECLARES** that Metro's prohibition on non-commercial advertising is unconstitutional and violates the First Amendment to the United States Constitution;
- (3) The Court **DECLARES** that Metro's exception to its prohibition on non-commercial advertising for non-profit organizations that partner with a public agency specifically created by government action located in Los Angeles County or a Federal or State of California Governmental Agency for the joint purpose of the non-profit organization and the Governmental Agency is unconstitutional and violates the First Amendment to the United States Constitution;
- (4) Metro, and it officers, agents, employees, attorneys, and all other persons who are in active concert or participation with them, are hereby **PERMANENTLY ENJOINED** and prohibited from enforcing, through any action or omission or otherwise, Metro's prohibition on non-commercial advertising;
- (5) Metro, and it officers, agents, employees, attorneys, and all other persons who are in active concert or participation with them, are hereby **PERMANENTLY ENJOINED** and prohibited from enforcing, through any action or omission or otherwise, Metro's exception to its prohibition on non-commercial advertising for non-profit organizations that partner with a public agency specifically created by government action located in Los Angeles County or a Federal or State of California Governmental Agency for the joint purpose of the non-profit organization and the Governmental Agency;

26 | ///

27 | | ///

28 | ///

1	(6) PETA may seek an award of attorney fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	by subsequent motion.
3	A A
$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$	Dated: January 4, 2023
5	CLINCHINE O CYVEC
6	SUNSHINE S. SYKES United States District Judge
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

-3-