

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CARLOS SANDOVAL,
Petitioner,
v.
W.L. MONTGOMERY, Warden,
Respondent.

Case No. 2:22-cv-01773-JWH (AFM)


**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS
AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE
JUDGE**

1 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition for Writ of
2 Habeas Corpus, the records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of United
3 States Magistrate Judge. The time for filing Objections to the Report and
4 Recommendation has passed, and no Objection has not been received. The Court
5 **ACCEPTS** the findings and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. To the
6 extent that Petitioner argues that the trial court erred with respect to its instructions
7 in response to the jury's request for guidance regarding Anthony Gonzalez's
8 testimony, or that Petitioner's trial counsel was ineffective for failing to object to
9 the trial court's instructions, *see* ECF No. 1 at 6, 16, 21, 25, & 28; ECF No. 16 at 2,
10 5, 7, 9, 15, 17, & 18, the Court has considered Petitioner's arguments and concludes
11 that they do not warrant federal habeas relief. It therefore **ORDERED** as follows:

12 Judgment shall be entered **DENYING** the Petition for Writ of Habeas
13 Corpus and **DISMISSING** the action **with prejudice**.

14 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

15
16 DATED: May 3, 2023

17 
18 _____
19 JOHN W. HOLCOMB
20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28