UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.	CV 22-08725-SPG(PDx)	Date	March 15, 2023
Title	Sinan Baraya v. Ur Mendoza Jaddou et al		

Present: The Honorable **SHERILYN PEACE GARNETT**
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

P. Gomez	Not Reported
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder
Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:	Attorneys Present for Defendants:
Not Present	Not Present

Proceeding: **(IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION**

Plaintiff(s) are **ORDERED** to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. *Link v. Wabash R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626 (1962) (Court has inherent power to dismiss for lack of prosecution on its own motion).

The below time period(s) has not been met. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, orders Plaintiff(s) to show cause, in writing, **on or before March 30, 2023,** why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. This matter will stand submitted upon the filing of Plaintiff(s) response. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 78. Failure to respond will be deemed consent to the dismissal of the action.

Absent a showing of good cause, an action must be dismissed without prejudice if the summons and complaint are not served on a Defendant within 90 days after the complaint is filed. Plaintiff(s) have failed to file a proof of service within 90 days of the filing of the Complaint on the following Defendant(s):

- Ur Mendoza Jaddou in her capacity ad Director of U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services
- Alejandro Mayorakas
- Rose Kendrick
- Jennifer B. Higgins
- Ur Mendoza Jaddou in her capacity as USCIS Deputy Director

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.	CV 22-08725-SPG(PDx)	Date	March 15, 2023
Title	Sinan Baraya v. Ur Mendoza Jaddou et al		

Plaintiff(s) can satisfy this order by showing that service was effectuated within the 90 day deadline or by showing good cause for the failure to do so. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

_____ : _____

Initials of Preparer   pg