

JS-6

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN DOE T.F., an individual,
Plaintiff,

v.

AIRBNB, INC., a Delaware corporation;
LUIS ERNESTO HERNANDEZ, an
individual; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-01791-FLA (PVCx)

**ORDER APPROVING
STIPULATION TO REMAND
ACTION [DKT. 15]**


1 On March 22, 2024, Plaintiff John Doe T.F. and Defendant Airbnb, Inc. filed a
2 Stipulation to Remand Action (“Stipulation”). Dkt. 15. Based on Plaintiff’s
3 representation that he would not seek more than \$75,000 from Defendants if the action
4 is remanded, the parties agree the amount in controversy does not exceed \$75,000 and
5 that the court lacks subject matter jurisdiction. *Id.* at 2.

6 The court, having considered the parties’ Stipulation, and finding good cause
7 therefor, hereby APPROVES the Stipulation and ORDERS as follows:

- 8 1. The above-captioned matter is hereby remanded to the Superior Court of
9 California, County of Los Angeles; and
- 10 2. The court’s Order to Show Cause Why Action Should Not Be Remanded
11 for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction, issued March 11, 2024 (Dkt. 12),
12 is DISCHARGED.

13
14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15
16 Dated: March 22, 2024

17 
18 _____
19 FERNANDO L. AENLLE-ROCHA
20 United States District Judge
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28