

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

YUE WANG,

Plaintiff,

v.

MONTEREY PARK POLICE PARK  
DEPARTMENT, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-02358-FLA (DFMx)

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR  
FAILURE TO PROSECUTE**

1 On March 22, 2024, Defendant City of Monterey Park (“City”) removed this  
2 action from the Los Angeles Superior Court. Dkt. 1. In its Notice of Removal, the  
3 City stated on information and belief that Defendants Peter Palomino, Connor  
4 Crabtree, and Cang Sou had not been served, *id.* ¶ 5; *see also* Dkt. 1-1 (Compl.), and  
5 attached a document from the Los Angeles Superior Court that ordered Plaintiff to  
6 appear for an Order to Show Cause Hearing for failure to file a proof of service, Dkt.  
7 1-2. Additionally, Plaintiff has not filed proofs of service as to any of these  
8 defendants. On March 29, 2024, the City filed a Motion to Dismiss the Complaint  
9 (“MTD”). Dkt. 13. Plaintiff did not timely file an opposition. *See* Local Rule 7-9.

10 The court, therefore, ORDERS Plaintiff to SHOW CAUSE in writing by May  
11 29, 2024, why the court should not dismiss Peter Palomino, Connor Crabtree, and  
12 Cang Sou from this action for lack of prosecution, *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b), and why  
13 the court should not dismiss the City from this action given Plaintiff’s failure to  
14 oppose the City’s MTD. Plaintiff’s filing of an opposition to the MTD shall be  
15 deemed a sufficient response as to the City.<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff’s failure to respond may result  
16 in dismissal of this action without further notice.

17  
18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19  
20 Dated: May 9, 2024

  
21 FERNANDO L. AENLLE-ROCHA  
22 United States District Judge  
23  
24  
25  
26

27  
28 <sup>1</sup> If Plaintiff files an opposition to the Motion, the City is authorized to file a reply by  
June 5, 2024.