UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JS6

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

		CIVILIM	INCTES - GENERAL		
Case No.	2:24-cv-02	770-RGK-AJR		Date	May 9, 2024
Title	EILBRA KHANGALDIAN v. WAL-MART ASSOCIATES, et al				
Present: Tl	he Honorable	R. GARY KLAU	SNER, UNITED STATES D	ISTRIC	CT JUDGE
Joseph Remigio (not present)		present)	Not Reported		N/A
Deputy Clerk			Court Reporter / Recorder Tape No.		Tape No.
Attorneys Present for Plainti		sent for Plaintiff:	Attorneys Present for Defendant:		
Not Present		Present	Not Present		
Proceedin	gs:	(IN CHAMBERS)	Order Remanding Action to	State	Court
ordered Defe equirement leview of De equisite juris calculates bache assumption such informaticalled to prove yet uses full- leficiency, the	ndant to show has been met fendant's Brisdictional among ck pay of at loon that Plaint ation (as demovide any evide time back pay he Court find	w by a preponderance. On April 22, 2024, ief, the Court finds to count. Specifically, I east \$23,795.60 as a diff worked at least 4 constrated by its Exhibit ence as to the number as the foundation is that Defendant has a sare satisfied.	the Court issued an OSC re America of the evidence that the \$75 Defendant filed a Brief, respondent Defendant has failed satisfactories between the point of the property of t	,000 ar onding fy its bu hourly endant' hich ur sumpti hile en n. In lig rance o	nount in controversy to the OSC. Upon orden of showing the wage of \$19.19, and is calculation relies on adoubtedly possesses ons. Defendant has aployed by Wal-Mart, ght of this glaring of the evidence that the
In lig	ht of the fore	going, the Court ren	nands this action in its entirety	to stat	e court.
IT IS	SO ORDE	RED.			
cc: LASC, 2	4STCV05699)	Initials of Preparer	_	::: _:

CV-90 (06/04) CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL Page 1 of 1