1	JILL WILLIAMS - State Bar No. 221793 ESTHER TEIXEIRA – State Bar No. 346431		
2	CARPENTER, ROTHANS & DUMONT LLP		
3	CARPENTER, ROTHANS & DUMONT LLP 500 South Grand Avenue, 19th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071 (213) 228-0400 / (213) 228-0401 (Fax) jwilliams@crdlaw.com / eteixeira@crdlaw.com		
4	jwilliams@crdlaw.com / eteixeira@crdlaw.com		
5	Attorneys for Specially Appearing, County of Los Angeles		
6	County of Los Angeles		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JHONNY ROLDAN, Individually, Case No. 2:24-cv-03485-PA (SSCx)		
12	Plaintiff, STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER		
13	V. STIT CEATED TROTECTIVE ORDER		
14	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, a)		
15	public entity, 168 REALTY INC., TONY CHANG, VICTOR S K KUNG, and Individual LASD		
16	Sheriff Deputies DOES 1 through 10, Jointly and Severally,		
17			
18	Defendants.		
19			
20			
21	1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>		
22	1.1 <u>Purposes and Limitations</u> . Discovery in this action is likely to involve		
23	production of confidential, proprietary, or private information for which special		
24	protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than		
25	prosecuting this litigation may be warranted. Accordingly, the parties hereby		
26	stipulate to and petition the court to enter the following Stipulated Protective		
27	Order. The parties acknowledge that this Order does not confer blanket		
28	protections on all disclosures or responses to discovery and that the protection it		

affords from public disclosure and use extends only to the limited information or items that are entitled to confidential treatment under the applicable legal principles.

1.2 <u>Good Cause Statement</u>. There is good cause and a particularized need for a protective order to preserve the interests of confidentiality and privacy in peace officer personnel file records and associated investigative or confidential records.

Peace officers have a federal privilege of privacy in their personnel file records: a reasonable expectation of privacy therein that is underscored, specified, and arguably heightened by the Pitchess protective procedure of California law.

See Sanchez v. Santa Ana Police Dept., 936 F.2d 1027, 1033-1034 (9th Cir. 1990);

Hallon v. City of Stockton, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14665, *2-3, 12-13 (E.D. Cal. 2012) (concluding that "while "[f]ederal law applies to privilege based discovery disputes involving federal claims," the "state privilege law which is consistent with its federal equivalent significantly assists in applying [federal] privilege law to discovery disputes"); Soto v. City of Concord, 162 F.R.D. 603, 613 n. 4, 616 (N.D. Cal. 1995) (peace officers have constitutionally-based "privacy rights [that] are not inconsequential" in their police personnel records); cf. Cal. Penal Code §§ 832.7, 832.8; Cal. Evid. Code §§ 1040-1047. Unrestricted disclosure of such personnel file information could potentially threaten the safety of non-party witnesses, officers, and their families/associates.

Second, municipalities and law enforcement agencies have federal deliberative-executive process privilege, federal official information privilege, federal law enforcement privilege, and federal attorney-client privilege (and/or attorney work product protection) interests in the personnel files of their peace officers – particularly as to those portions of peace officer personnel files that contain critical self-analysis, internal deliberation/decision-making or evaluation/analysis, or communications for the purposes of obtaining or rendering

1	legal advice or analysis – potentially including but not limited to evaluative /			
2	analytical portions of Internal Affairs type records or reports, evaluative /			
3	analytical portions of supervisory records or reports, and/or reports prepared at the			
4	direction of counsel, or for the purpose of obtaining or rendering legal advice			
5	Defendants further contend that municipalities and law enforcement agencies have			
6	duties to respect the privacy rights of officers and third parties to this litigation,			
7	and such duties may bear on the course of discovery in this matter. See Sanchez,			
8	936 F.2d at 1033-1034; Maricopa Audubon Soc'y v. United States Forest Serv.,			
9	108 F.3d 1089, 1092-1095 (9th Cir. 1997); Soto, 162 F.R.D. at 613, 613 n. 4;			
10	Kelly v. City of San Jose, 114 F.R.D. 654, 668-671 (N.D. Cal. 1987); <u>Tuite v.</u>			
11	Henry, 181 F.R.D. 175, 176-177 (D. D.C. 1998); Hamstreet v. Duncan, 2007 U.S.			
12	Dist. LEXIS 89702 (D. Or. 2007); Admiral Ins. Co. v. United States Dist. Ct., 881			
13	F.2d 1486, 1492, 1495 (9th Cir. 1988). Defendants further contend that such			
14	personnel file records are restricted from disclosure by the public entity's			
15	custodian of records pursuant to applicable California law and that uncontrolled			
16	release is likely to result in needless intrusion of officer privacy; impairment in the			
17	collection of third-party witness information and statements and related legitimate			
18	law enforcement investigations/interests; and a chilling of open and honest			
19	discussion regarding and/or investigation into alleged misconduct that can erode a			
20	public entity's ability to identify and/or implement any remedial measures that			
21	may be required.			
22	Third, because peace officers do not have the same rights as other private			

Third, because peace officers do not have the same rights as other private citizens to avoid giving compelled statements, it is contrary to the fundamental principles of fairness to permit uncontrolled release of officers' compelled statements. See generally Lybarger v. City of Los Angeles, 40 Cal.3d 822, 828-830 (1985); cf. U.S. Const., amend V.

Accordingly, without a protective order preventing such, production of confidential records in the case can and will likely substantially impair and harm

the public entity's interests in candid self-critical analysis, frank internal deliberations, obtaining candid information from witnesses, preserving the safety of witnesses, preserving the safety of peace officers and peace officers' families and associates, protecting the privacy officers of peace officers, and preventing pending investigations from being detrimentally undermined by publication of private, sensitive, or confidential information – as can and often does result in litigation.

It is the intent of the parties that information will not be designated as confidential for tactical reasons and that nothing be so designated without a good faith belief that it has been maintained in a confidential, non-public manner, and there is good cause why it should not be part of the public record of this case.

1.3 Acknowledgment of Procedure for Filing Under Seal. The parties further acknowledge, as set forth in Section 12.3, below, that this Stipulated Protective Order does not entitle them to file confidential information under seal; Local Rule 79-5 sets forth the procedures that must be followed and the standards that will be applied when a party seeks permission from the court to file material under seal.

There is a strong presumption that the public has a right of access to judicial proceedings and records in civil cases. In connection with non-dispositive motions, good cause must be shown to support a filing under seal. See Kamakana v. City and Cnty. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1176 (9th Cir. 2006), Phillips ex rel. Ests. of Byrd v. Gen. Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206, 1210–11 (9th Cir. 2002), Makar-Welbon v. Sony Elecs., Inc., 187 F.R.D. 576, 577 (E.D. Wis. 1999) (even stipulated protective orders require good cause showing), and a specific showing of good cause or compelling reasons with proper evidentiary support and legal justification, must be made with respect to Protected Material that a party seeks to file under seal. The parties' mere designation of Disclosure or Discovery Material as CONFIDENTIAL does not—without the submission of competent evidence by

1	declaration, establishing that the material sought to be filed under seal qualifies as	
2	confidential, privileged, or otherwise protectable—constitute good cause.	
3	Further, if a party requests sealing related to a dispositive motion or trial, then	
4	compelling reasons, not only good cause, for the sealing must be shown, and the	
5	relief sought shall be narrowly tailored to serve the specific interest to be protected.	
6	See Pintos v. Pac. Creditors Ass'n, 605 F.3d 665, 677–79 (9th Cir. 2010). For	
7	each item or type of information, document, or thing sought to be filed or	
8	introduced under seal in connection with a dispositive motion or trial, the party	
9	seeking protection must articulate compelling reasons, supported by specific facts	
10	and legal justification, for the requested sealing order. Again, competent evidence	
11	supporting the application to file documents under seal must be provided by	
12	declaration.	

Any document that is not confidential, privileged, or otherwise protectable in its entirety will not be filed under seal if the confidential portions can be redacted. If documents can be redacted, then a redacted version for public viewing, omitting only the confidential, privileged, or otherwise protectable portions of the document, shall be filed. Any application that seeks to file documents under seal in their entirety should include an explanation of why redaction is not feasible.

2. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

- 2.1 <u>Action: Jhonny Roldan v. County of Los Angeles, et al, United States</u> District Court Case No. 2:24-cv-03485-PA (SSCx).
- 2.2 <u>Challenging Party</u>: a Party or Non-Party that challenges the designation of information or items under this Order.
- 2.3 "CONFIDENTIAL" Information or Items: information (regardless of how it is generated, stored or maintained) or tangible things that qualify for protection under Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and as

specified above in the Good Cause Statement.

- 2.4 <u>Counsel</u>: Outside Counsel of Record and House Counsel (as well as their support staff).
- 2.5 <u>Designating Party</u>: a Party or Non-Party that designates information or items that it produces in disclosures or in responses to discovery as "CONFIDENTIAL."
- 2.6 <u>Disclosure or Discovery Material</u>: all items or information, regardless of the medium or manner in which it is generated, stored, or maintained (including, among other things, testimony, transcripts, and tangible things), that are produced or generated in disclosures or responses to discovery in this matter.
- 2.7 <u>Expert</u>: a person with specialized knowledge or experience in a matter pertinent to the litigation who has been retained by a Party or its counsel to serve as an expert witness or as a consultant in this Action.
- 2.8 <u>Final Disposition</u>: the later of (1) dismissal of all claims and defenses in this Action, with or without prejudice; and (2) final judgment herein after the completion and exhaustion of all appeals, rehearings, remands, trials, or reviews of this Action, including the time limits for filing any motions or applications for extension of time pursuant to applicable law.
- 2.9 <u>In-House Counsel</u>: attorneys who are employees of a party to this Action. In-House Counsel does not include Outside Counsel of Record or any other outside counsel.
- 2.10 <u>Non-Party</u>: any natural person, partnership, corporation, association, or other legal entity not named as a Party to this action.
- 2.11 <u>Outside Counsel of Record</u>: attorneys who are not employees of a party to this Action but are retained to represent or advise a party to this Action and have appeared in this Action on behalf of that party or are affiliated with a law firm which has appeared on behalf of that party, and includes support staff.
 - 2.12 Party: any party to this Action, including all of its officers, directors,

employees, consultants, retained experts, and Outside Counsel of Record (and their support staffs).

- 2.13 <u>Producing Party</u>: a Party or Non-Party that produces Disclosure or Discovery Material in this Action.
- 2.14 <u>Professional Vendors</u>: persons or entities that provide litigation-support services (e.g., photocopying, videotaping, translating, preparing exhibits or demonstrations, and organizing, storing, or retrieving data in any form or medium) and their employees and subcontractors.
- 2.15 <u>Protected Material</u>: any Disclosure or Discovery Material that is designated as "CONFIDENTIAL."
- 2.16 <u>Receiving Party</u>: a Party that receives Disclosure or Discovery Material from a Producing Party.

3. SCOPE

The protections conferred by this Stipulation and Order cover not only Protected Material (as defined above), but also (1) any information copied or extracted from Protected Material; (2) all copies, excerpts, summaries, or compilations of Protected Material; and (3) any testimony, conversations, or presentations by Parties or their Counsel that might reveal Protected Material. Any use of Protected Material at trial shall be governed by the orders of the trial judge. This Stipulated Protective Order does not govern the use of Protected Material at trial.

4. TRIAL AND DURATION

The terms of this Stipulated Protective Order apply through Final Disposition of the Action.

Once a case proceeds to trial, information that was designated as CONFIDENTIAL or maintained pursuant to this Stipulated Protective Order and

used or introduced as an exhibit at trial becomes public and will be presumptively available to all members of the public, including the press, unless compelling reasons supported by specific factual findings to proceed otherwise are made to the trial judge in advance of the trial. See Kamakana, 447 F.3d at 1180–81 (distinguishing "good cause" showing for sealing documents produced in discovery from "compelling reasons" standard when merits-related documents are part of court record). Accordingly, for such materials, the terms of this Stipulated Protective Order do not extend beyond the commencement of the trial.

Even after Final Disposition of this litigation, the confidentiality obligations imposed by this Stipulated Protective Order shall remain in effect until a Designating Party agrees otherwise in writing or a court order otherwise directs.

5. <u>DESIGNATING PROTECTED MATERIAL</u>

5.1 Exercise of Restraint and Care in Designating Material for Protection. Each Party or Non-Party that designates information or items for protection under this Order must take care to limit any such designation to specific material that qualifies under the appropriate standards. The Designating Party must designate for protection only those parts of material, documents, items, or oral or written communications that qualify so that other portions of the material, documents, items, or communications for which protection is not warranted are not swept unjustifiably within the ambit of this Order.

Mass, indiscriminate, or routinized designations are prohibited. Designations that are shown to be clearly unjustified or that have been made for an improper purpose (e.g., to unnecessarily encumber the case development process or to impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties) may expose the Designating Party to sanctions.

If it comes to a Designating Party's attention that information or items that it designated for protection do not qualify for protection, that Designating Party must

promptly notify all other Parties that it is withdrawing the inapplicable designation.

5.2 <u>Manner and Timing of Designations</u>. Except as otherwise provided in this Stipulated Protective Order (*see, e.g.*, second paragraph of section 5.2(a) below), or as otherwise stipulated or ordered, Disclosure or Discovery Material that qualifies for protection under this Stipulated Protective Order must be clearly so designated before the material is disclosed or produced.

Designation in conformity with this Stipulated Protective Order requires:

(a) for information in documentary form (e.g., paper or electronic documents, but excluding transcripts of depositions or other pretrial or trial proceedings), that the Producing Party affix at a minimum, the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" to each page that contains protected material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate markings in the margins).

A Party or Non-Party that makes original documents available for inspection need not designate them for protection until after the inspecting Party has indicated which documents it would like copied and produced. During the inspection and before the designation, all of the material made available for inspection shall be deemed CONFIDENTIAL. After the inspecting Party has identified the documents it wants copied and produced, the Producing Party must determine which documents, or portions thereof, qualify for protection under this Stipulated Protective Order. Then, before producing the specified documents, the Producing Party must affix the "CONFIDENTIAL" legend to each page that contains Protected Material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate markings in the margins).

(b) for testimony given in depositions that the Designating Party identify the Disclosure or Discovery Material on the record, before the close of the

- (c) for information produced in some form other than documentary and for any other tangible items, that the Producing Party affix in a prominent place on the exterior of the container or containers in which the information is stored the "CONFIDENTIAL" legend. If only a portion or portions of the information warrants protection, the Producing Party, to the extent practicable, shall identify the protected portion(s).
 - 5.3 <u>Inadvertent Failures to Designate</u>. If timely corrected, an inadvertent failure to designate qualified information or items does not, standing alone, waive the Designating Party's right to secure protection under this Order for such material. Upon timely correction of a designation, the Receiving Party must make reasonable efforts to assure that the material is treated in accordance with the provisions of this Stipulated Protective Order.

6. CHALLENGING CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS

- 6.1 <u>Timing of Challenges</u>. Any Party or Non-Party may challenge a designation of confidentiality at any time that is consistent with the court's Scheduling Order.
- 6.2 <u>Meet and Confer.</u> The Challenging Party shall initiate the dispute resolution process under Local Rule 37.1 et seq. and with Section 2 of Judge Christensen's Civil Procedures titled "Brief Pre-Discovery Motion Conference."¹
- 6.3 The burden of persuasion in any such challenge proceeding shall be on the Designating Party. Frivolous challenges, and those made for an improper purpose (e.g., to harass or impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties) may expose the Challenging Party to sanctions. Unless the Designating Party has waived or withdrawn the confidentiality designation, all parties shall

¹ Judge Christensen's Procedures are available at https://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/honorable-stephanie-s-christensen.

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

continue to afford the material in question the level of protection to which it is entitled under the Producing Party's designation until the court rules on the challenge.

7. ACCESS TO AND USE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

7.1Basic Principles. A Receiving Party may use Protected Material that is disclosed or produced by another Party or by a Non-Party in connection with this Action only for prosecuting, defending, or attempting to settle this Action. Such Protected Material may be disclosed only to the categories of persons and under the conditions described in this Order. When the Action reaches a Final Disposition, a Receiving Party must comply with the provisions of section 13 below.

Protected Material must be stored and maintained by a Receiving Party at a location and in a secure manner that ensures that access is limited to the persons authorized under this Stipulated Protective Order.

- Disclosure of "CONFIDENTIAL" Information or Items. Unless otherwise ordered by the court or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any information or item designated "CONFIDENTIAL" only:
- (a) to the Receiving Party's Outside Counsel of Record in this Action, as well as employees of said Outside Counsel of Record to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the information for this Action;
- (b) to the officers, directors, and employees (including House Counsel) of the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this Action;
- (c) to Experts (as defined in this Order) of the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this Action and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A);
 - (d) to the court and its personnel;

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

> 27 28

- (e) to court reporters and their staff;
- (f) to professional jury or trial consultants, mock jurors, and Professional Vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this Action and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A);
- (g) to the author or recipient of a document containing the information or a custodian or other person who otherwise possessed or knew the information;
- (h) during their depositions, to witnesses, and attorneys for witnesses, in the Action to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary, provided: (1) the deposing party requests that the witness sign the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A); and (2) the witness will not be permitted to keep any confidential information unless they sign the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A), unless otherwise agreed by the Designating Party or ordered by the court. Pages of transcribed deposition testimony or exhibits to depositions that reveal Protected Material may be separately bound by the court reporter and may not be disclosed to anyone except as permitted under this Stipulated Protective Order; and
- (i) to any mediator or settlement officer, and their supporting personnel, mutually agreed upon by any of the parties engaged in settlement discussions.

8. PROTECTED MATERIAL SUBPOENAED OR ORDERED PRODUCED IN OTHER LITIGATION

If a Party is served with a subpoena or a court order issued in other litigation that compels disclosure of any information or items designated in this Action as "CONFIDENTIAL," that Party must:

- (a) promptly notify in writing the Designating Party. Such notification shall include a copy of the subpoena or court order;
- (b) promptly notify in writing the party who caused the subpoena or order to issue in the other litigation that some or all of the material covered by the

3

8

11

10

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

23

22

24

25

26

27

28

- subpoena or order is subject to this Protective Order. Such notification shall include a copy of this Stipulated Protective Order; and
- cooperate with respect to all reasonable procedures sought to be pursued by the Designating Party whose Protected Material may be affected.

If the Designating Party timely seeks a protective order, the Party served with the subpoena or court order shall not produce any information designated in this action as "CONFIDENTIAL" before a determination by the court from which the subpoena or order issued, unless the Party has obtained the Designating Party's permission. The Designating Party shall bear the burden and expense of seeking protection in that court of its confidential material and nothing in these provisions should be construed as authorizing or encouraging a Receiving Party in this Action to disobey a lawful directive from another court.

9. A NON-PARTY'S PROTECTED MATERIAL SOUGHT TO BE **PRODUCED IN THIS LITIGATION**

- 9.1 Application. The terms of this Stipulated Protective Order are applicable to information produced by a Non-Party in this Action and designated as "CONFIDENTIAL." Such information produced by Non-Parties in connection with this litigation is protected by the remedies and relief provided by this Order. Nothing in these provisions should be construed as prohibiting a Non-Party from seeking additional protections.
- 9.2 Notification. In the event that a Party is required, by a valid discovery request, to produce a Non-Party's confidential information in its possession, and the Party is subject to an agreement with the Non-Party not to produce the Non-Party's confidential information, then the Party shall:
 - (a) promptly notify in writing the Requesting Party and the Non-Party that some or all of the information requested is subject to a confidentiality agreement with a Non-Party;

(b) make the information requested available for inspection by the Non-Party, if requested.

9.3 <u>Conditions of Production</u>. If the Non-Party fails to seek a protective order from this court within 14 days of receiving the notice and accompanying information, the Receiving Party may produce the Non-Party's confidential information responsive to the discovery request. If the Non-Party timely seeks a protective order, the Receiving Party shall not produce any information in its possession or control that is subject to the confidentiality agreement with the Non-Party before a determination by the court. Absent a court order to the contrary, the Non-Party shall bear the burden and expense of seeking protection in this court of its Protected Material.

10. <u>UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED</u> MATERIAL

If a Receiving Party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed Protected Material to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this Stipulated Protective Order, the Receiving Party must immediately (a) notify in writing the Designating Party of the unauthorized disclosures, (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all unauthorized copies of the Protected Material, (c) inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized disclosures were made of all the terms of this Order, and (d) request such person or persons to execute the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A).

11. INADVERTENT PRODUCTION OF PRIVILEGED OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED MATERIAL

When a Producing Party gives notice to Receiving Parties that certain inadvertently produced material is subject to a claim of privilege or other protection, the obligations of the Receiving Parties are those set forth in Rule

10

11

12

13 14

16

17

15

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

13. FINAL DISPOSITION

After the Final Disposition of this Action, as defined in paragraph 4, within 60 days of a written request by the Designating Party, each Receiving Party must return all Protected Material to the Producing Party or destroy such material. As

26(b)(5)(B) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. This provision is not intended to modify whatever procedure may be established in an e-discovery order that provides for production without prior privilege review. Pursuant to Rules 502(d) and (e) of the Federal Rules of Evidence, insofar as the parties reach an agreement on the effect of disclosure of a communication or information covered by the attorney-client privilege or work product protection, the parties may incorporate

their agreement in the stipulated protective order submitted to the court.

12. MISCELLANEOUS

- 12.1 Right to Further Relief. Nothing in this Stipulated Protective Order abridges the right of any person to seek its modification by the court in the future.
- 12.2 Right to Assert Other Objections. By stipulating to the entry of this Stipulated Protective Order no Party waives any right it otherwise would have to object to disclosing or producing any information or item on any ground not addressed in this Stipulated Protective Order. Similarly, no Party waives any right to object on any ground to use in evidence of any of the material covered by this Stipulated Protective Order.
- 12.3 Filing Protected Material. A Party that seeks to file under seal any Protected Material must comply with Local Rule 79-5. Protected Material may only be filed under seal pursuant to a court order authorizing the sealing of the specific Protected Material at issue. If a Party's request to file Protected Material under seal is denied by the court, then the Receiving Party may file the information in the public record unless otherwise instructed by the court.

used in this subdivision, "all Protected Material" includes all copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries, and any other format reproducing or capturing any of the Protected Material. Whether the Protected Material is returned or destroyed, the Receiving Party must submit a written certification to the Producing Party (and, if not the same person or entity, to the Designating Party) by the 60 day deadline that (1) identifies (by category, where appropriate) all the Protected Material that was returned or destroyed and (2) affirms that the Receiving Party has not retained any copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries or any other format reproducing or capturing any of the Protected Material. Notwithstanding this provision, Counsel is entitled to retain an archival copy of all pleadings, motion papers, trial, deposition, and hearing transcripts, legal memoranda, correspondence, deposition and trial exhibits, expert reports, attorney work product, and consultant and expert work product, even if such materials contain Protected Material. Any such archival copies that contain or constitute Protected Material remain subject to this Protective Order as set forth in Section 4. 14. **VIOLATION** Any violation of this Stipulated Protective Order may be punished by any and all appropriate measures including, without limitation, contempt proceedings and/or monetary sanctions. IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

-16-

1	DATED: September 23, 2024		CURD GALIDO & SMITH LLP	
2				
3	II .			
4		Бу:	/s/ Maximilliano Galindo ALEX GALINDO	
5			MAXIMILIANO GALINDO Attorneys for Plaintiff, Jhonny Roldan	
6			Jhonny Roldan	
7	DATED: September 23, 2024		CARPENTER, ROTHANS & DUMONT	
8				
10		D	/g/ Iill Williams	
11		Бу.	/s/ Jill Williams JILL WILLIAMS	
12			ESTHER TEIXEIRA Attorneys for Specially Appearing, County of Los Angeles	
13	County of Los Angeles		County of Los Angeles	
14				
15				
15 16	FOR GOOD CAUSE SI	lWOH	N, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
	FOR GOOD CAUSE SI	HOW	N, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
16 17 18	FOR GOOD CAUSE SI DATED: September 24, 2024	HOW		
16 17 18 19		H OW I	N, IT IS SO ORDERED. Stephanie S. Christensen United States Magistrate Judge	
16 17 18 19 20		HOW	Stephanie S. Christensen	
16 17 18 19 20 21		H OW I	Stephanie S. Christensen	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22		HOW	Stephanie S. Christensen	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		H OW I	Stephanie S. Christensen	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22		HOW	Stephanie S. Christensen	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		HOW	Stephanie S. Christensen	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25		HOW	Stephanie S. Christensen	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26		HOW	Stephanie S. Christensen	

EXHIBIT A

1

ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND

_	Tell to Webballett And Addle Met 10 be boot b			
3				
4	I, [print or			
5	type full name], of			
6				
7	[print or type full address],			
8	declare under penalty of perjury that I have read in its entirety and understand the			
9	Stipulated Protective Order that was issued by the United States District Court for			
10	the Central District of California on [date] in the			
11	case of <u>Jhonny Roldan v. County of Los Angeles, et al</u> , United States District			
12	Court Case No. 2:24-cv-03485-PA (SSCx).			
13	I agree to comply with and to be bound by all the terms of this Stipulated			
14	Protective Order and I understand and acknowledge that failure to so comply could			
15	expose me to sanctions and punishment in the nature of contempt. I solemnly			
16	promise that I will not disclose in any manner any information or item that is			
17	subject to this Stipulated Protective Order to any person or entity except in strict			
18	compliance with the provisions of this Order.			
19	I further agree to submit to the jurisdiction of the United States District			
20	Court for the Central District of California for the purpose of enforcing the terms			
21	of this Stipulated Protective Order, even if such enforcement proceedings occur			
22	after termination of this action. I hereby appoint			
23	[print or type full name]			
24	of			
25	[print			
26	or type full address and telephone number] as my California agent for service or			
27	process in connection with this action or any proceedings related to enforcement of			
28	this Stipulated Protective Order.			
	-18-			

1		
2	Date:	
3	City and State where sworn and	
4	signed:	
5	Printed name:	
6	Signature:	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		-19-

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER