UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.	2:24-cv-09225-ODW (ASX)			Date	October 29, 2024			
Title	Joshua Cuevas v. Christian Gonzales et al.							
Present: The Honorable Otis D. Wright II, United States District Judge								
Sheila English			Not report	Not reported				
Deputy Clerk			Court Reporter /	Court Reporter / Recorder T				
Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:			Attorneys Present for Defendants:					
	Not prese	nt	Not present					

Proceedings (In Chambers):

The Complaint filed in this action asserts a claim for injunctive relief arising out of an alleged violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 12010–12213, and a claim for damages pursuant to California's Unruh Civil Rights Act ("Unruh Act"), Cal. Civ. Code §§ 51–53. It appears that the Court possesses only supplemental jurisdiction over the Unruh Act claim, and any other state law claim that Plaintiff may have alleged, pursuant to the Court's supplemental jurisdiction. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

The supplemental jurisdiction statute "reflects the understanding that, when deciding whether to exercise supplemental jurisdiction, 'a federal court should consider and weigh in each case, and at every stage of the litigation, the values of judicial economy, convenience, fairness, and comity." City of Chicago v. Int'l Coll. of Surgeons, 522 U.S. 156, 173 (1997) (emphasis added) (quoting Carnegie-Mellon Univ. v. Cohill, 484 U.S. 343, 350 (1988)). The Court therefore **ORDERS** Plaintiff to **SHOW CAUSE** in writing why the Court should exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the Unruh Act claim and any other state law claim asserted in the Complaint. See 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c).

In responding to this Order to Show Cause, Plaintiff shall identify the amount of statutory damages Plaintiff seeks to recover. Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel shall also support their responses to the Order to Show Cause with declarations, signed under penalty of perjury, providing all facts necessary for the Court to determine if they satisfy the definition of a "high-frequency litigant" as provided by California Code of Civil Procedure sections 425.55(b)(1) & (2). Plaintiff shall file a Response to this Order to Show Cause by

no later than two weeks from the date of this Order. Failure to timely or adequately respond to this Order to Show Cause may, without further warning, result in the dismissal of the entire action without prejudice or the Court declining to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the Unruh Act and other state law claims, if any, and the dismissal of that claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c).

	TC	α	\mathbf{ODI}		
11	12	5 ()	UKI	DERED	

		:_	00
Initials of Preparer	SE		