1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	WESTERN DIVISION
10	
11	JACK W. DUNIGAN,) No. ED CV 04-00498-CAS (VBK)
12	Petitioner,) ORDER (1) ACCEPTING AND ADOPTING
13	 THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF v. THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND (2) DISMISSING THE
14	M. YARBOROUGH,) JUDGE, AND (2) DISMISSING THE PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS
15) CORPUS Respondent.)
16)
17	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636, the Court has made a <u>de</u> novo review
18	of the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ("Petition"), Respondent's
19	Motion to Dismiss, Petitioner's Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss,
20	Respondent's Answer, Petitioner's Traverse, all of the records herein
21	and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate
22	Judge ("Report").
23	//
24	//
25	//
26	//
27	//
28	//

1	IT IS ORDERED that: (1) the Court accepts and adopts the Report
2	and Recommendation, (2) the Court declines to issue a Certificate of
3	Appealability ("COA"); ¹ and (3) Judgment be entered denying and
4	dismissing the Petition with prejudice.
5	Revisiting A. Smyde
6	DATED: 9/1/10 CHRISTINA A. SNYDER
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	$\frac{1}{1}$ Under 20 U.G.G. 52252(a)(2) a GOA may issue Norder if the
21	applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a
22	constitutional right." The Supreme Court has held that, to obtain a Certificate of Appealability under §2253(c), a habeas petitioner must
23	show that "reasonable jurists could debate whether (or, for that matter, agree that) the petition should have been resolved in a
24	different manner or that the issues presented were `adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further'." <u>Slack v. McDaniel</u> , 529
25	U.S. 473, 483-84, 120 S.Ct. 1595 (2000)(internal quotation marks omitted); see also Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336, 123 S.Ct.
26	1029 (2003). After review of Petitioner's contentions herein, this Court concludes that Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of
27	the denial of a constitutional right, as is required to support the
28	issuance of a COA.