

1 6. Was Brinks' termination of Richard Spurling a substantial factor in causing
2 harm to plaintiff?

3 ___ Yes ___ No

4 Answer Question 7.

5 7. If you answered "yes" to either question 4 or question 6 then answer question 8.
6 If you answered "no" to both question 4 and question 6, stop here, answer no
7 further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

8 8. What are Richard Spurling's damages?

- 9 a. Past economic loss, including lost earnings: \$120,073.00
- 10 b. Past non-economic loss, including emotional distress: \$ 25,000.00
- 11 c. Future economic loss, including lost earnings: \$ 63,000.00

12
13 TOTAL: \$ 208,073.00

14
15 Dated: March 5, 2009

Signed: /s/
16 Presiding Juror

17 It appearing by reason of said verdict that Plaintiff, Richard Spurling, is entitled
18 to judgment against Brink's Incorporated.

19 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that
20 plaintiff, Richard Spurling have and recover from said defendant, Brink's
21 Incorporated the sum of **\$208,073**, together with costs to be determined by the Clerk
22 of Court with interest thereon at the rate of seven tenths of one percent (0.7%) per
23 annum from the date of the entry of this judgment until paid.

24
25 

26 DATED: March 20, 2009

Hon. Stephen Larson