

1 Eric S. Vail, City Attorney (SBN 160333)
 CITY OF HEMET
 2 Ronald F. Frank (SBN 109076)
 Amy E. Hoyt, (SBN 149789)
 3 E-mail: ahoyt@bwslaw.com
 Joseph P. Byrne (SBN 190365)
 4 E-mail: jbyrne@bwslaw.com
 BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP
 5 2280 Market Street, Suite 300
 Riverside, CA 92501-2121
 6 Tel: (951) 788-0100 Fax: (951) 788-5785

MADE JS-6

7 Attorneys for Defendant
 CITY OF HEMET

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 HOWARD TOUNGET,
 12 Plaintiff,
 13
 14 v.
 15 CITY OF HEMET, et al.
 16 Defendants.

**Case No. ED CV 08-00464 GW
 (AGRx)**

**JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF
 DEFENDANT CITY OF HEMET**

Judge: Hon. George Wu

Removal Filed: 04-04-08

19 On February 10, 2011, Defendant City of Hemet’s (“City”) Motion for
 20 Summary Judgment, Or in the Alternative for Summary Adjudication of Claims
 21 and Defenses came on regularly for hearing at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 10 of the
 22 above-entitled court, the Honorable George Wu, presiding. Amy E. Hoyt of Burke,
 23 Williams & Sorensen, LLP appeared on behalf of the City. Russell Cole of
 24 DePasquale & Cole appeared on behalf of Plaintiff Howard Tounget.

25 After taking the matter under submission and after full consideration of the
 26 moving papers, oral argument and the documents on file with the Court, on
 27 February 14, 2011 the Court granted the City’s Motion for Summary Judgment. A
 28

1 true and correct copy of the Court's Final Ruling on Motion for Summary
2 Judgment is attached as Exhibit A. The Court finds that there are no genuine issues
3 of material fact and that the City is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.
4 Accordingly, the Court enters judgment in the City's favor on the Third Amended
5 Complaint. The case is dismissed with prejudice and the City may recover costs of
6 suit upon application to this Court.

7
8 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9
10 Dated: February 17, 2011



11
12 GEORGE H. WU, U.S. District Judge

