1	Eric S. Vail, City Attorney (SBN 160333	B) MADE JS-6
2	CITY OF HEMET Ronald F. Frank (SBN 109076)	
3	Ronald F. Frank (SBN 109076) Amy E. Hoyt, (SBN 149789) E-mail: <u>ahoyt@bwslaw.com</u> Joseph P. Byrne (SBN 190365) E-mail: <u>jbyrne@bwslaw.com</u> BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, L 2280 Market Street Suite 300	
4	Joseph P. Byrne (SBN 190365) E-mail: jbyrne@bwslaw.com	
5	2200 Market Succe, Suite 500	.LP
6	Riverside, CA 92501-2121 Tel: (951) 788-0100 Fax: (951) 788-3	5785
7	Attorneys for Defendant	
8	CITY OF HEMET	DISTRICT COURT
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	CENTRAL DISTRI	UI OF CALIFOKNIA
11	HOWADD TOUNCET	Case No. ED CV 08-00464 GW
12	HOWARD TOUNGET,	(AGRx)
13	Plaintiff,	
14	v. CITY OF HEMET, et al.	JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF DEFENDANT CITY OF HEMET
15	Defendants.	DEFENDANT CITT OF HEWIET
16	Defendants.	Judga, Han Caanga Wu
17		Judge: Hon. George Wu Removal Filed: 04-04-08
18		Kemoval Flied: 04-04-08
19	On February 10, 2011, Defendant City of Hemet's ("City") Motion for	
20	Summary Judgment, Or in the Alternative for Summary Adjudication of Claims	
21	and Defenses came on regularly for hearing at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 10 of the	
22	above-entitled court, the Honorable George Wu, presiding. Amy E. Hoyt of Burke,	
23	Williams & Sorensen, LLP appeared on behalf of the City. Russell Cole of	
24	DePasquale & Cole appeared on behalf of Plaintiff Howard Tounget.	
25	After taking the matter under submission and after full consideration of the	
26	moving papers, oral argument and the do	cuments on file with the Court, on
27	February 14, 2011 the Court granted the	City's Motion for Summary Judgment. A
28		
AMS &		(PROPOSED) HIDGMENT IN EAVOR OF

1	terre and a serie of the Count's Einel Delling on Mating for Series and
1	true and correct copy of the Court's Final Ruling on Motion for Summary
2	Judgment is attached as Exhibit A. The Court finds that there are no genuine issues
3	of material fact and that the City is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.
4	Accordingly, the Court enters judgment in the City's favor on the Third Amended
5	Complaint. The case is dismissed with prejudice and the City may recover costs of
6	suit upon application to this Court.
7	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	
10	Dated: February 17, 2011 Menge H. W.
11	GEORGE H. WU, U.S. District Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP Attorneys At Law Riverside	RIV #4819-8232-7048 v1- 2 -[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF DEFENDANT CITY OF HEMET

1	PROOF OF SERVICE	
2	I declare that I am over the age of eighteen (18) and not a party to this action. My business address is 2280 Market Street, Suite 300, Riverside, California 92501.	
3	On February 15, 2011 I served the following document(s): [PROPOSED]	
4	JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF DEFENDANT CITY OF HEMET on interested	
5	parties in this action by placing a true and correct copy of such document, enclosed in a sealed envelope, addressed as follows:	
6		
7	Russell Cole, Esq.Attorneys for PlaintiffPaul DePasquale, Esq.HOWARD TOUNGETDEPASQUALE & COLETo (200 and 10)	
8	523 West Sixth Street, Suite 707 Tel : (213) 629-3550 Los Angeles, CA 90014 Fax : (213) 629-0354	
9 10	Enail: prdrjclaw@yahoo.com	
10	x BY COURT CASE MANAGEMENT/ ELECTRONIC CASE	
11	X BY COURT CASE MANAGEMENT/ ELECTRONIC CASE FILES (CM/ECF) SYSTEM, By submitting the document listed	
12	above as a Portable Document Format (PDF), by uploading an electronic version via CM/ECF System case filing which automatically	
13	generates a Notice of Electronic Filing or NEF which allows recipie	
15	to retrieve the document(s) automatically, pursuant to the Court's Administrative Order Regarding Electronic Filing. I certify that said	
16	transmission was completed and that all pages contained therein were	
17	received.	
18	X BY EMAIL. I caused the document (without enclosures) described above, to be sent via email in PDF format to the above-referenced	
19	person(s) at the email addresses listed. [Pursuant to agreement	
20	between counsel – electronic service pursuant to Rule 2.260, CRC]	
21	Executed on February 15, 2011, at Riverside, California.	
22	X (Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the of this court at whose direction the service was made.	
23	of this court at whose direction the service was made.	
24		
25	Mary E. Hensley	
26		
27		
28 Burke, Williams &		
SORENSEN, LLP Attorneys At Law Riverside	RIV #4819-8232-7048 v1 - 17 - [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF DEFENDANT CITY OF HEMET	

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

I