| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Bruce E. Disenhouse (SBN 078760) bdisenhouse@krsattys-riv.com KINKLE, RODIGER AND SPRIGGS Professional Corporation 3333 Fourteenth Street Riverside, California 92501 (951) 683-2410 FAX (951) 683-7759 Attorneys for DEFENDANTS, COUNTY GOODRICH, DEPUTY TONY HOXMEI | OF RIVERIDE, DEPUTY DON ER, and WILLIAM DI YORIO | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 8 | UNITED STATES | DISTRICT COURT | | | |
| 9 | CENTRAL DISTRIC | CT OF CALIFORNIA | | | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | MARK PERRIN, | CASE NO.: EDCV 08-595-LLP (SSx) | | | |
| 12 13 | Plaintiff, |) ORDER ON STIPULATION FOR | | | |
| 13 | v |) PROTECTIVE ORDER RE:) ADDITIONAL CONFIDENTIAL) DOCUMENTS CONTAINING | | | |
| 15 | COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE; DEPUTY DON GOODRICH #1781, individually |) PRIVATE INFORMATION OF THIRD) PARTIES PRODUCED BY | | | |
| 16 | and as a peace officer, DEPUTY TONY HOXMIER, #2510, WILLIAM DI |) DEFENDANT COUNTY OF) RIVERSIDE IN RESPONSE TO | | | |
| 17 | YORIO, individually and as a peace officer, DOES 1-10, inclusive, |) PLAINTIFF MARK PERRIN'S) REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF | | | |
| 18 | Defendants. | DOCUMENTS, SET ONE, NO. 23 AND SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES, SET | | | |
| 19 | · |) ONE, NOS. 3&4 | | | |
| 20 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, pursua | nt to stipulation of counsel and the July | | | |
| 21 | 22, 2010 Order of this Court concerning D | efendant County of Riverside's Responses | | | |
| 22 | _ | | | | |
| 23 | to Plaintiff Mark Perrin's Request for Proc | luction of Documents, Set One, No. 23 and | | | |
| 24 | Special Interrogatories, Set One, Nos. 3&4 | that: | | | |
| 25 | 1. All documents and information | on identified in Paragraph 3 below which | | | |
| 26 | are being produced pursuant to the July 22, 2010 Order of this Court by Defendant | | | | |
| 27 | are being produced pursuant to the July 22 | , 2010 Order of this Court by Derendant | | | |
| 28 | County of Riverside in Response to Plainti | 1 | | | |
| KINKLE, RODIGER AND SPRIGGS Professional Corporation | [PROPOSED] ORDER ON STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE CONTAINING PRIVATE INFORMATION OF THIRD PARTIE IN RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF MARK PERRIN'S REQUEST F SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE, NOS. 3&4 | | | | |

| 1 | of Documents, Set One, No. 23 and Special Interrogatories, Set One, Nos. 3&4 are | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | designated by the parties and this Court as "confidential material" which shall be | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | used solely in connection with the preparation and trial of the within case, Case No. | | |
| 5 | EDCV 08-595-LLP (SSx) or any related appellate proceeding, and not for any other | | |
| 6 7 | purpose, including any other litigation, except as otherwise permitted by written | | |
| 8 | agreement of counsel for the parties or by order of a Court of competent jurisdiction. | | |
| 9 | Any "confidential material" produced by Defendants or used in this litigation will be | | |
| 10 | stamped or otherwise marked in a conspicuous location prior to the production or use | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | of the document in this litigation as follows: | | |
| 13 | "CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL BY STIPULATION | | |
| 14 | OF THE PARTIES SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE | | |
| 15 | ORDER, Case No. EDCV 08-595-LLP (SSx)" | | |
| 16 | 2. All documents and information identified in Paragraph 3 below which | | |
| 17 | are being produced by Defendant County of Riverside in Response to Plaintiff Mark | | |
| 18 19 | Perrin's Request for Production of Documents, Set One, No. 23 and Special | | |
| 20 | Interrogatories, Set One, Nos. 3&4 are being produced subject to and pursuant to this | | |
| 21 | protective order and the July 22, 2010 Order of this Court as these documents | | |
| 22 | contain minute information of third narries to which Federal security have a security 1 | | |
| 23 | contain private information of third parties to which Federal courts have recognized | | |
| 24 | that third party individuals have a privacy interest in not having disclosed. See | | |
| 25 | DeArmand v. City of Antioch, 2009 WL 1704686, at *2 (N.D. Cal. 2009) (citing | | |
| 26 | Cook v. Yellow Freight Sys., Inc., 132 F.R.D. 548, 551 (E.D. Cal. 1990); Soto v. | | |
| 27 | $C^{4} = \mathbf{f} C^{4} = \mathbf{f} C^{$ | | |
| 28 | <u>City of Concord</u> , 162 F.R.D. 603, 616 (N.D. Cal. 1995)). However under federal | | |
| GER SS ation | [PROPOSED] ORDER ON STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER RE: ADDITIONAL CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS CONTAINING PRIVATE INFORMATION OF THIRD PARTIES PRODUCED BY DEFENDANT COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE IN RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF MARK PERRIN'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET ONE, NO. 23 AND | | |

KINKLE, RODIGER AND SPRIGGS Professional Corporation

CONTAINING PRIVATE INFORMATION OF THIRD PARTIES PRODUCED BY DEFENDANT COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE IN RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF MARK PERRIN'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET ONE, NO. 23 AND SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE, NOS. 3&4

law, this Court has balanced the Plaintiff's need for the information against the 1 2 privacy right asserted and has now ordered the production of said documents and 3 information. Id. 4 5 The following documents and information produced by Defendants 3. 6 COUNTY in Response to Plaintiff Mark Perrin's Request for Production of 7 Documents, Set One, No. 23 and Special Interrogatories, Set One, Nos. 3&4 are to 8 9 be designated as "confidential material" by the parties and pursuant to the agreement 10 and stipulation of the counsel for the parties are being produced subject to and as a 11 result of this protective order and the July 22, 2010 Order of this Court: 12 13 Legible copies of each and every crime and arrest report wherein (a) 14 defendants Hoxmier and Goodrich alleged themselves, together 15 or individually, to be victims of Penal Code sections 148, 69, 243 16 17 and 245, for a period of five years prior to Plaintiff Mark Perrin's 18 alleged incident which occurred on Martin Luther King Day 19 January 19, 2004 in the City of Moreno Valley. (Request No. 23); 20 21 (b) The complete name, date of birth and current or last known 22 address of the individual cited by defendant Goodrich at 10:44 23 a.m., January 19, 2004. To the extent this information still exists 24 25 or ever existed, the County may answer by providing a copy of 26 the citation issued pursuant to FRCP 33. (Special Interrogatory 27 No. 3); and, 28

KINKLE, RODIGER AND SPRIGGS Professional Corporation

[[]PROPOSED] ORDER ON STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER RE: ADDITIONAL CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS CONTAINING PRIVATE INFORMATION OF THIRD PARTIES PRODUCED BY DEFENDANT COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE IN RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF MARK PERRIN'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET ONE, NO. 23 AND SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE, NOS. 3&4

| 1 | | (c) | The name, date of birth and current or last known address and |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | | | telephone number of the individual cited under No. 238545 by |
| 3 | | | defendant Goodrich for allegedly violating V.C. §27007, October |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | 1, 1999. The County may answer by providing a copy of the |
| 6 | | | citation issued pursuant to FRCP 33. (Special Interrogatory No. |
| 7 8 | | | 4). |
| 9 | 4. | The | parties agree that the above referenced materials are sensitive and |
| 10 | | - | |
| 11 | subject to certain privacy rights under both state and federal law and are deemed | | |
| 12 | privileged under both state and federal law. Therefore, the parties agree that good | | |
| 13 | cause exists for the issuance of this protective order, to protect the significant privacy | | |
| 14 | rights of the parties herein and the third parties thereby effected. | | |
| 15 | fights of the parties herein and the third parties thereby effected. | | |
| 16 | 5. | Conf | idential and private material may not be disclosed except as |
| 17 | provided in paragraph 6 herein. | | |
| 18 | 6 | | idential and minute metarial may be disclosed only to the following |
| 19 | 6. | Confidential and private material may be disclosed only to the following | |
| 20 | persons: | | |
| 21 | | (a) | Counsel for any party and any party to this litigation; |
| 22 | | (b) | Developed stangerenhia clarical and secretarial personnal |
| 23 | | (b) | Paralegal, stenographic, clerical, and secretarial personnel |
| 24 | | | regularly employed by counsel referred to in Section 6(a); |
| 25 | | (c) | Court personnel and stenographic reporters engaged in such |
| 26 | | | proceedings as are necessarily incidental to preparation for and |
| 27 | | | proceedings as are necessarily incidental to preparation for and |
| 28 | | | trial of this action, or otherwise at the trial of this action; |
| KINKLE, RODIGER AND SPRIGGS Professional Corporation | 4 [PROPOSED] ORDER ON STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER RE: ADDITIONAL CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS CONTAINING PRIVATE INFORMATION OF THIRD PARTIES PRODUCED BY DEFENDANT COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE IN RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF MARK PERRIN'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET ONE, NO. 23 AND | | |

IN RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF MARK PERRIN'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET ONE, NO. 23 AND SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE, NOS. 3&4

| 1 | (d) Any outside expert or consultant retained in connection with this | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2 | action, and not otherwise regularly employed by the parties or | | | |
| 3 | their councel. | | | |
| 4 | their counsel; | | | |
| 5 | (e) Any "in-house" expert designated by the parties to testify at trial | | | |
| 6 | in this matter; and | | | |
| 7 | (f) Any investigators employed by the parties in connection with this | | | |
| 8 | (1) They investigators employed by the parties in connection with this | | | |
| 9 | action. | | | |
| 10 11 | 7. Nothing in paragraph 6 is intended to prevent officials or employees of | | | |
| 12 | the County of Riverside or other authorized governmental officials from having | | | |
| 13 | access to the documents and information if they would have had access in the normal | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | course of their job duties. | | | |
| 16 | 8. Further, nothing in this order prevents a witness from disclosing events | | | |
| 17 | or activities personally known to him or her, that is, a witness can disclose to others | | | |
| 18 | information previously given to the Riverside County Sheriff's Department with | | | |
| 19 | respect to what he or she saw, heard, or otherwise sensed. | | | |
| 20 | respect to what he of she saw, heard, of otherwise sensed. | | | |
| 21 | 9. Each person to whom disclosure is made, with the exception of those | | | |
| 22 | identified in paragraph 6 who are presumed to know the contents of this protective | | | |
| 23 24 | order, shall, prior to the time of disclosure, be provided a copy of this order by the | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | person furnishing him/her such material, and shall agree on the record or in writing | | | |
| 27 | that he/she has read the protective order, and that he/she understands the provisions | | | |
| 28 | of the protective order. Such person also must consent in writing to be subject to the | | | |
| IGER GS ration | 5 [PROPOSED] ORDER ON STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER RE: ADDITIONAL CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS CONTAINING PRIVATE INFORMATION OF THIRD PARTIES PRODUCED BY DEFENDANT COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE IN RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF MARK PERRIN'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET ONE, NO. 23 AND | | | |

KINKLE, RODIG AND SPRIGGS Professional Corporat

IN RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF MARK PERRIN'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET ONE, NO. 23 AND SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE, NOS. 3&4

| 1 | jurisdiction of the United States District Court with respect to any proceeding | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | moleting to enforcement of this order including often the termination of this action for | | |
| 3 | relating to enforcement of this order, including after the termination of this action for | | |
| 4 | purposes of enforcing the Protective Order. Unless made on the record in this | | |
| 5 | litigation, counsel making the disclosure to any person described above shall retain | | |
| 6 | the original executed copy of said agreement until final termination of this litigation. | | |
| 7 8 | 10. If any information and/or documents which are the subject of this | | |
| 9 | Protective Order are presented to this or any other court in any other manner prior to | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | the time of trial, said information and/or documents shall be lodged under seal, | | |
| 12 | pursuant to Local Rule 79-51, and with an appropriate application made to the Judge | | |
| 13 | assigned and presiding over this matter, United States District Judge Lawrence L. | | |
| 14 | Piersol, for lodging under seal, in an envelope clearly marked as follows: | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | "CONFIDENTIAL AND MATERIAL SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER. CASE NO.: EDCV 08-595- | | |
| 17 | LLP (SSx)." | | |
| 18 | 11. At the conclusion of the trial and of any appeal or upon termination of | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | this litigation, all confidential material received under the provisions of this order | | |
| 21 | (including any copies made and/or any computer materials made or stored) shall be | | |
| 22 | tendered back to the attorneys of record for the County of Riverside. Provisions of | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | this order insofar as they restrict the disclosure and use of the material shall be in | | |
| 25 | effect until further order of this Court. | | |
| 26 | /// | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | 6 | | |
| KINKLE, RODIGER AND SPRIGGS Professional Corporation | [PROPOSED] ORDER ON STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER RE: ADDITIONAL CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS CONTAINING PRIVATE INFORMATION OF THIRD PARTIES PRODUCED BY DEFENDANT COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE IN RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF MARK PERRIN'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET ONE, NO. 23 AND SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE, NOS. 3&4 | | |

| 1 | 12. The foregoing is without prejudice to the right of any party: | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 2 | (a) To apply to the Court or to some court of competent jurisdiction, | |
| 3 | for a further protective order relating to confidential material or | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | relating to discovery in this litigation; | |
| 6 7 | (b) To apply to the Court or to some court of competent jurisdiction, | |
| 8 | for an order removing the confidential material designation from | |
| 9 | any documents; and | |
| 10 | (c) To apply to the Court for an order modifying this order or for any | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | order permitting disclosure of confidential material beyond the | |
| 13 | terms of this order. | |
| 14 | 13. In addition to the above and foregoing, nothing in this order prevents | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | any of the parties to this action from referencing any materials deemed confidential | |
| 17 | under this order in any motion papers filed with the Court in this action, at the | |
| 18 19 | hearing of any motion, or at trial. | |
| 19 20 | /S/ | |
| 20 | DATED 0/2/10 | |
| 21 | DATED: 8/3/10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| KINKLE, RODIGER AND SPRIGGS Professional Corporation | 7 [PROPOSED] ORDER ON STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER RE: ADDITIONAL CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS CONTAINING PRIVATE INFORMATION OF THIRD PARTIES PRODUCED BY DEFENDANT COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE IN RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF MARK PERRIN'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET ONE, NO. 23 AND SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE, NOS. 3&4 | |