



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 EASTERN DIVISION

11 RAY E. BENNETT,) Case No. EDCV 08-0678-SGL (MLG)
12)
13 Plaintiff,) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
14)
15 v.)
16 RIVERSIDE SHERIFF'S)
17 DEPARTMENT,)
18 Defendant.)

19 This action was filed on May 15, 2008. Plaintiff paid the full
20 filing fee and is not proceeding in forma pauperis. In accordance
21 with the Court's July 28, 2008 Order, Plaintiff was directed to
22 personally serve the Defendant with a summons and complaint no later
23 than September 12, 2008. Plaintiff was informed that the failure to
24 timely effect service would result in dismissal of the action.
25 Neither an answer nor a certificate of service has been filed as of
26 September 12, 2008.

27 Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 4(m), if service of the summons and
28 complaint is not made within 120 days of the filing of the complaint,
the court shall dismiss the matter without prejudice unless good

1 cause is shown to extend the time for service. Plaintiff has not
2 effected service in the time allowed nor has he requested additional
3 time in which to do so.

4 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, not later than September 26,
5 2008, plaintiff shall show cause, if any exists, why he has not
6 effected service upon the defendant in the time allowed and why the
7 action should not be dismissed for failure to effect service and
8 comply with the Court's orders.

9 If plaintiff does not object to dismissal of the action, he need
10 not respond to this order. If Plaintiff fails to respond to this
11 order within the time specified, he will be deemed to have consented
12 to a dismissal, and the action may also be dismissed by reason of
13 plaintiff's failure to prosecute.

14

15 Dated: September 12, 2008

16

17

18



Marc L. Goldman
United States Magistrate Judge

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28