

1 REGINA J. McCLENDON (State Bar No. 184669)  
rjm@severson.com  
2 ERIK KEMP (State Bar No. 246196)  
ek@severson.com  
3 SEVERSON & WERSON  
A Professional Corporation  
4 One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2600  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
5 Telephone: (415) 398-3344  
Facsimile: (415) 956-0439  
6

JS-6

7 Attorneys for Defendants  
FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY  
8 LLC dba MAZDA AMERICAN  
CREDIT

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, EASTERN DIVISION  
11

12 JEREMY WORRALL, as an individual  
13 consumer and on behalf of all others  
similarly situated,

Case No.: 5:CV08-1846 VAP  
(PLAx)

14 Plaintiff,

**STIPULATION FOR  
DISMISSAL OF ENTIRE  
ACTION WITH PREJUDICE**

15 vs.

[Fed. R. Civ. P., Rule 41(a)]

16 MAZDA MOTOR OF AMERICA, INC.,  
17 a California corporation dba MAZDA  
NORTH AMERICAN OPERATIONS,  
18 MAZDA AMERICAN CREDIT, INC., and  
19 FORD CREDIT, a Delaware limited liability  
company,

20 Defendants.

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 Plaintiff Jeremy Worrall ("plaintiff") and defendant Ford Motor Credit Company  
2 LLC dba Mazda American Credit ("Ford Credit"), through their counsel, stipulate as  
3 follows:

4 1. Plaintiff filed this putative class action against Ford Credit and other  
5 defendants challenging the application of payments on his Ford Credit account.

6 2. After the lawsuit was filed, plaintiff and Ford Credit conducted an  
7 investigation of the claims asserted and determined that the case was not amenable to  
8 class certification because the challenged practice, which Ford Credit denies is  
9 wrongful, affected only a few persons. Based upon these facts, plaintiff no longer  
10 wishes to pursue his class claims and has reached a settlement of his individual claims  
11 with Ford Credit.

12 3. No motion for class certification has been filed in this matter, and the  
13 Court has not certified any class.

14 4. The dismissal of this case will not preclude putative class members'  
15 claims, if any. Ford Credit denies that it violated the Unfair Competition Law, Cal.  
16 Bus. & Prof. Code, 17200 *et seq.*, Rosenthal Act, Cal. Civ. Code, § 1788 *et seq.* or any  
17 other applicable law with respect to plaintiff or any member of the putative class  
18 alleged by plaintiff.

19 5. Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure allows the  
20 parties to stipulate to the dismissal of an action at any time. Rule 23(e) does not limit  
21 the right to stipulate to dismissal of this putative class action because it only applies to  
22 certified classes, and no class has been certified in this matter. No notice is required to  
23 putative class members under Rule 23(e) since, as explained above, the settlement and  
24 dismissal does not bind them in any way.

25 Accordingly, pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) of the Federal Rules of Civil  
26 Procedure, plaintiff and Ford Credit agree that the entire complaint shall be dismissed  
27 in its entirety and with prejudice with respect to the claims by plaintiff individually  
28

1 against Ford Credit, and without prejudice as to the claims by the putative, uncertified  
2 class against Ford Credit.

3 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

4  
5 DATED: August 24, 2009

SEVERSON & WERSON  
A Professional Corporation

6

7

8

By: /s/ Regina J. McClendon  
Regina J. McClendon

9

Attorneys for Defendants  
FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY LLC dba  
MAZDA AMERICAN CREDIT

10

11 DATED: August 24, 2009

HEWELL LAW FIRM

12

13

By: /s/ Harold M. Hewell

14

Harold M. Hewell  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
JEREMY WORRALL

15

16

17

18

IT IS SO ORDERED

19

Dated August 26 2009

20

Virginia G. M.  
United States District Judge

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28