

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 WILBUR LANN PITTMAN,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-09-2316 EFB P

12 vs.

13 S. L. SOUTHERHILL, et al.,

14 Defendants.

ORDER

15 \_\_\_\_\_/  
16 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42  
17 U.S.C. § 1983.

18 A civil action, other than one based on diversity jurisdiction, must be brought in “(1) a  
19 judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants reside in the same State, (2) a  
20 judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim  
21 occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a  
22 judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action  
23 may otherwise be brought.” 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

1 Here, defendants appear to be located in Riverside County which is in the Central District  
2 of California. 28 U.S.C. § 84(c). Therefore, in the interest of justice, this action is transferred to  
3 the United States District Court for the Central District of California. See 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a);  
4 *Starnes v. McGuire*, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

5 So ordered.

6 DATED: November 5, 2009.

7   
EDMUND F. BRENNAN  
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26