1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	EASTERN DIVISION
11	ELONZA JESSE TYLER, )
12	Petitioner, ) Case No. EDCV 11-1714 MMM(AJW)
13	vs. )
14	JAMES D. HARTLEY, Warden, ) JUDGMENT
	, and the second
15	Respondent.
	)
15	)
15 16 17	Respondent. )
15 16	Respondent. )  It is hereby adjudged that petitioner's challenge to his 1997
15 16 17 18	Respondent.  It is hereby adjudged that petitioner's challenge to his 1997 conviction is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction; petitioner's
15 16 17 18 19	Respondent.  It is hereby adjudged that petitioner's challenge to his 1997 conviction is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction; petitioner's challenge the execution of his sentence is dismissed as untimely; and
15 16 17 18	Respondent.  It is hereby adjudged that petitioner's challenge to his 1997 conviction is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction; petitioner's challenge the execution of his sentence is dismissed as untimely; and
15 16 17 18 19 20	Respondent.  Tt is hereby adjudged that petitioner's challenge to his 1997 conviction is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction; petitioner's challenge the execution of his sentence is dismissed as untimely; and petitioner's challenge to the Board's denial of parole is denied.  Dated: May 14, 2012
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Respondent.  It is hereby adjudged that petitioner's challenge to his 1997 conviction is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction; petitioner's challenge the execution of his sentence is dismissed as untimely; and petitioner's challenge to the Board's denial of parole is denied.  Dated: May 14, 2012  Margaret M. Morrow
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Respondent.  It is hereby adjudged that petitioner's challenge to his 1997 conviction is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction; petitioner's challenge the execution of his sentence is dismissed as untimely; and petitioner's challenge to the Board's denial of parole is denied.  Dated: May 14, 2012  Mayur M. Maww
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Respondent.  It is hereby adjudged that petitioner's challenge to his 1997 conviction is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction; petitioner's challenge the execution of his sentence is dismissed as untimely; and petitioner's challenge to the Board's denial of parole is denied.  Dated: May 14, 2012  Margaret M. Morrow
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Respondent.  It is hereby adjudged that petitioner's challenge to his 1997 conviction is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction; petitioner's challenge the execution of his sentence is dismissed as untimely; and petitioner's challenge to the Board's denial of parole is denied.  Dated: May 14, 2012  Margaret M. Morrow