

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
9

10 MICHAEL A. HAYWOOD,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 DOUGLAS N. GERICKE, *et al.*,

14 Defendants.
15
16
17

Case No. ED CV 12-0025 VAP (JCG)

**ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED
STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

18 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Complaint, the
19 Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, and the remaining record, and has
20 made a *de novo* determination.


21 Nothing in the Objections refutes the Magistrate Judge's finding that Plaintiff
22 failed, on *multiple* occasions, to file a First Amended Complaint as directed by the
23 Court. Nor does Plaintiff ever attempt to remedy this deficiency, even though he has
24 been given ample opportunity to do so. The Court also finds Plaintiff's argument that
25 the Magistrate Judge engaged in "a pattern of racketeering and the corruptly [*sic*]
26 obstruction of the judicial legal process" unpersuasive. (Obj. at 7.)

27 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 28 1. The Report and Recommendation is approved and accepted.

2. Judgment be entered dismissing this action without prejudice.
3. The Clerk serve copies of this Order and the Judgment on the parties.

DATED: November 29, 2012__



HON. VIRGINIA A. PHILLIPS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE