_	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	DANIEL WILLIAM HEATH,) CASE NO. ED CV 13-99-JLS (PJW)
11	Petitioner,) ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND) ADOPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS,
12	v.) AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED) STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE, AND
13	MARION E. SPEARMAN, WARDEN,) DENYING CERTIFICATE OF) APPEALABILITY
14	Respondent.)
15	/

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, records on file, and the Final Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in a *de novo* review of those portions of the Report to which Petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the Report and adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge.

Further, for the reasons stated in the Final Report and Recommendation, the Court finds that Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right and therefore, a certificate of appealability is denied. See 28 U.S.C.

26 27

28

1	§ 2253(c)(2); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b); Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S.
2	322, 336 (2003).
3	
4	DATED: <u>May 2, 2017</u> .
5	
б	
7	JOSEPHINE L. STATON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	UNITED STRIES DISTRICT UUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	C:\Users\sbourgeoi\AppData\Local\Temp\notesC7A056 \sim 4422430.wpd
	2