## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION,

Plaintiff,

VS.

MIGUEL LOZANO AKA JOSE MIGUEL LOZANO; ADAN FERNANDEZ AKA ADAN FERNANDEZ, SR., THE MUSIC ROOM, A BUSINESS OF UNKNOWN FORM; AND DOES 1-100 IN OCCUPANCY, INCLUSIVE,

Defendants.

Case No. EDCV 13-1121-UA (DUTYx)

ORDER SUMMARILY REMANDING IMPROPERLY-REMOVED ACTION

The Court will remand this unlawful detainer action to state court summarily because it has been removed improperly.

On June 24, 2013, defendant The Music Room, having been sued in what appears to be a routine unlawful detainer action in California state court, lodged a Notice of Removal of that action to this Court and also presented an application to proceed *informa pauperis*. The Court has denied the latter application under separate cover because the action was not properly removed. To prevent the action from

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

remaining in jurisdictional limbo, the Court issues this Order to remand the action to state court.

Simply stated, plaintiff could not have brought this action in federal court in the first place, in that defendant does not competently allege facts supplying either diversity or federal-question jurisdiction, and therefore removal is improper. 28 U.S.C. §1441(a); see Exxon Mobil Corp v. Allapattah Svcs., Inc., 545 U.S. 546, 563, 125 S. Ct. 2611, 162 L. Ed. 2d 502 (2005).

To the extent defendant asserts 18 U.S.C. § 1001 as a basis for jurisdiction, that provision is a federal criminal statute, and, as such, defendant may not maintain an action thereunder, as the Attorney General of the United States, or his designee, is vested with the exclusive authority to maintain federal criminal proceedings. See e.g. Ponzi v. Fessenden, 258 U.S. 254, 262, 42 S. Ct. 309, 66 L. Ed. 607 (1922); U.S. v. Singleton, 165 F.3d 1297, 1299-300 ("[o]nly officers of the Department of Justice or the United States Attorney can represent the United States in the prosecution of a criminal case.")

Nor does plaintiff's unlawful detainer action raise any federal legal question. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441(b).

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that (1) this matter be REMANDED to the Superior Court of California, San Bernardino County, 303 West 3rd Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, for lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c); (2) that the Clerk send a certified copy of this Order to the state court; and (3) that the Clerk serve copies of this Order on the parties.

IT IS SO ORDERED.	1h Wares
DATED: 7/3/13	DEADORAGETTE
-	GEORGE H. KING
	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Presented by:

Acting Chief Judge

David T. Bristow United States Magistrate Judge