1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | UNIT CENT | 10 | 11 | ANTHONY KONTOS, 12 | 13 | Plair vs.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

et al.,

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT

OCT 15 2015

CENTRAL DISTRIGT OF CALIFORNIA
BY

DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff,
vs.

UNTIED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No. EDCV 13-1398-DDP (RNB)

ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Defendants.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the pleadings, the records on file herein, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in a <u>de novo</u> review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which objections have been made. The Court accepts the findings and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge.

IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that (1) defendants' Motion to Dismiss with respect to plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claim against defendant Sterling is granted with leave to amend; (2) defendants' Motion to Dismiss with respect to plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claim against defendants Quinn and Ortiz is denied; (3) defendants Quinn and Ortiz shall file an Answer to the Second Amended

1	ľ
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Complaint within thirty (30) days of the service date of this Order; and (4) if plaintiff still desires to pursue his Eighth Amendment claim against Mr. Sterling, he shall file a Third Amended Complaint remedying the deficiencies of that claim as discussed in the Report and Recommendation within thirty (30) days of the service date of this Order.

DATED: folis

DEAN D. PREGERSON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE