Case No. EDCV 13-01594-VAP (OPx)

PRIORITY SEND

Date: May 28, 2014

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES -- GENERAL

Title:	RICHARD ADDISON STEELE II -v- COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, A PUBLIC ENTITY, et al.				
PRESENT: HONORABLE VIRGI			INIA A. PHILLIPS, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE		
Marva Dillard Courtroom Deputy				None Present Court Reporter	
ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFFS:				ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANTS:	
None			None		
PROCEE	DINGS:			SING ACTION FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE (IN CHAMBERS)	
On May 23, 2014, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause re: Failure to Prosecute, noting that Plaintiff had filed his Complaint on September 5, 2013, but had failed to prosecute this action thereafter. On May 27, 2014, Plaintiff filed a Response to the Order to Show Cause. (Doc. No. 7 ("Response").) In the Response, Plaintiff explained that there was a parallel administrative action pending, ¹ and that this lawsuit was filed in order to "preserve" Plaintiff's statutory and					
another is				on February 4 and 5, 2014, and -23, 2014. (Response ¶ 9.)	
MINUTES CIVIL G			Page 1	Initials of Deputy Clerkmd	

EDCV 13-01594-VAP (OPx)
RICHARD ADDISON STEELE II v. COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, A PUBLIC ENTITY, et al.
MINUTE ORDER of May 28, 2014

constructional rights. (Response ¶ 11.) Though Plaintiff has not served Defendants, he has had discussions with Defense counsel about acceptance of service. (<u>Id.</u> ¶ 14.)

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) provides:

If a defendant is not served within 120 days after the complaint is filed, the court -- on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff -- must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Here, after review of the Response, the Court finds that Plaintiff has not shown good cause for failing to serve the Defendants and generally failing to prosecute this action. Accordingly, the Court DISMISSES this action WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

IT IS SO ORDERED.