Sream Inc v	Sun Kyung Cho et al	Doc. 3	34
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7		JS-6	
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  EASTERN DIVISION		
10			
11			
12	SREAM, INC, a California corporation,	Case No. 5:13-cv-02197-VAP-SP	
13	Plaintiff,		
14 15	Traintiff,	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED FINAL JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT	
16	V.	INJUNCTION AGAINST DADDY'S	
17	SUN KYUNG CHO d/b/a CIGARETTE	SMOKE SHOP, INC.	
18	DEPOT; DADDY'S SMOKE SHOP, INC., a California corporation; FOUR ACES		
19	WHOLESALE, CORP., a California		
20	Corporation; and DOES 1-10 INCLUSIVE,		
21	Defendants.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	JUDGMENT		

Dockets.Justia.com

## FINAL JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

This Court, having made the following findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to the parties' stipulation:

- A. Plaintiff Sream, Inc. ("Sream" or "Plaintiff") filed suit against Defendant Daddy's Smoke Shop, Inc. ("Daddy"), alleging that Daddy violated Sream's rights under 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1116, 1125(a), (c), and (d), and Cal. Bus & Prof. § 17200 *et seq*. ("Action");
- B. The Parties entered into a settlement agreement as of March 28, 2014 ("Settlement Agreement"), which requires entry of the stipulated judgment set forth herein;

And good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. That judgment be entered in favor of Sream against Daddy on all claims.
- 2. For the purposes of binding preclusive effect on Daddy as to future disputes with respect to the Action or Settlement Agreement between Daddy on the one hand and Sream on the other hand, and only for such purposes, Daddy admits the following:
  - a. Mr. Martin Birzle is now, and has been at all times since the dates of issuance, the owner of United States Trademark Registration Nos. 2,235,638; 2,307,176; and 3,675,839 (the "RooR Marks") and of all rights thereto and thereunder.
  - b. Since at least 2011, Plaintiff Sream has been the exclusive licensee of the RooR Marks in the United States. Mr. Brizle has been granted all enforcement rights to Sream to sue for obtain injunctive and monetary relief for past and future infringement of the RooR Marks.
  - c. Daddy, by the actions described in the complaint, has infringed upon the RooR Marks.
- 3. Daddy, and those on Daddy's behalf, including their owners, shareholders, principals, officers, agents, servants, employees, independent contractors, and partners, are permanently enjoined from using the term "Sream" and confusingly similar terms (collectively, the "Injunction").