

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CIVIL MINUTES--GENERAL

Case No. **EDCV 14-1370-JGB (KKx)**

Date: **August 20, 2015**

Title: **Eddie Campos, et al. v. County of Riverside, et al.**

---



---

**DOCKET ENTRY**

---



---

PRESENT:

**HON. KENLY KIYA KATO, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Deb Taylor  
Deputy Clerk

n/a  
Court Reporter

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFFS:

None present

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANTS:

None present

**PROCEEDINGS: (IN CHAMBERS)**

The parties' proposed Stipulation and Protective Order has been referred by the District Judge to the Magistrate Judge for consideration. The parties are advised that the Court declines to issue the proposed protective order to which they have stipulated for the following reasons:

1. Proposed ¶ 11 needs to be revised to make clear that any motion seeking relief for violation of the proposed Protective Order must be brought in strict compliance with Local Rules 37-1 and 37-2 (including the Joint Stipulation requirement).

The parties are further directed to the Court's sample stipulated protective order located on the Court's website for a sample of the format of an approved stipulated protective order. The parties are strongly encouraged to use the language contained in the approved stipulated protective order.

cc: Judge Jesus G. Bernal