1 2 JS-6 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ESTHER ALVARADO, et al, Case No. EDCV 14-1387-DTB 12 Plaintiffs, ORDER SUMMARILY DISMISSING 13 **ACTION FOR FAILURE TO** VS. **PROSECUTE** 14 NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, et al, 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 Plaintiffs filed this pro se civil rights action on July 8, 2014. Under Federal 19 Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m), service of the summons and complaint must be 20 accomplished on each named defendant within 120 days after the filing of the 21 complaint. The 120-day period within which to accomplish service on each named 22 defendant expired on November 5, 2014. On November 14, 2014, the Court issued 23 an Order to Show Cause ordering plaintiffs to show good cause as to why service was 24 not made within the 120-day period and why this case should not be dismissed 25 without prejudice for want of prosecution. To date, plaintiffs have not responded in 26 /// 27 /// 28 /// 1

any way to the Order to Show Cause. Accordingly, this action is DISMISSED without prejudice. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: January 12, 2015 DAVID T. BRISTOW UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE